SENATE SUBSTITUTE

FOR

SENATE BILL NO. 221

AN ACT

To repeal section 536.140, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to judicial review of agency determinations.

1	
	Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, as follows:
	Section A. Section 536.140, RSMo, is repealed and one new
2	section enacted in lieu thereof, to be known as section 536.140,
3	b to read as follows:
	536.140. 1. The court shall hear the case without a
2	2 jury and, except as otherwise provided in subsection 4 of
3	3 this section, shall hear it upon the petition and record
4	filed as aforesaid.
5	2. The inquiry may extend to a determination of
6	whether the action of the agency
7	(1) Is in violation of constitutional provisions;
8	3 (2) Is in excess of the statutory authority or
9) jurisdiction of the agency;
10	(3) Is unsupported by competent and substantial
11	evidence upon the whole record;
12	(4) Is, for any other reason, unauthorized by law;
13	(5) Is made upon unlawful procedure or without a fair
14	trial;
15	(6) Is arbitrary, capricious or unreasonable;
16	(7) Involves an abuse of discretion.
17	7 The scope of judicial review in all contested cases, whether
18	
19	
20	

21 whether state or local, is now or may hereafter be provided

1

by law, shall in all cases be at least as broad as the scope of judicial review provided for in this subsection[; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall in any way change or affect the provisions of sections 311.690 and 311.700].

27 3. [Whenever the action of the agency being reviewed does not involve the exercise by the agency of 28 29 administrative discretion in the light of the facts, but 30 involves only the application by the agency of the law to 31 the facts, the court may upon application of any party 32 conduct a de novo review of the agency decision] In interpreting a state statute, rule, regulation, or other 33 34 subregulatory document, a court or an officer hearing an administrative action shall not defer to a state agency's 35 interpretation of such statute, rule, regulation, or other 36 document, and shall interpret the meaning and effect de 37 novo. In actions brought by or against a state agency, 38 39 after applying all customary tools of interpretation, the court or hearing officer shall decide any remaining doubt in 40 favor of a reasonable interpretation that limits agency 41 power and maximizes individual liberty. 42

4. Wherever under [subsection 3 of] this section or 43 otherwise the court is entitled to weigh the evidence and 44 determine the facts for itself, the court may hear and 45 consider additional evidence if the court finds that such 46 47 evidence in the exercise of reasonable diligence could not 48 have been produced or was improperly excluded at the hearing before the agency. Wherever the court is not entitled to 49 50 weigh the evidence and determine the facts for itself, if the court finds that there is competent and material 51 evidence which, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, 52 could not have been produced or was improperly excluded at 53 54 the hearing before the agency, the court may remand the case

2

55 to the agency with directions to reconsider the same in the 56 light of such evidence. The court may in any case hear and 57 consider evidence of alleged irregularities in procedure or 58 of unfairness by the agency, not shown in the record.

The court shall render judgment affirming, 59 5. 60 reversing, or modifying the agency's order, and may order the reconsideration of the case in the light of the court's 61 opinion and judgment, and may order the agency to take such 62 63 further action as it may be proper to require; but the court shall not substitute its discretion for discretion legally 64 vested in the agency, unless the court determines that the 65 agency decision was arbitrary or capricious. 66

67 6. Appeals may be taken from the judgment of the court68 as in other civil cases.

3