

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0286-01
Bill No.: SB 6
Subject: Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Energy; Environmental Protection
Type: Original
Date: January 14, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTIONS

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development (DED)** assume this proposal would require the Director of DED to serve on the Missouri Biomass Technology Commission created by this proposal. It would also require that guidelines and recommendations be developed and published for presentation to the General Assembly. DED staff would assist the Director in development of the economic portion of the guidelines. DED assumes someone other than DED would be in charge of the commission and its costs. DED assumes that there would be no additional cost or personnel required.

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Office of the Deputy Commissioner and Division of Budget and Planning**, assume this proposal would have no impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** do not anticipate a direct fiscal impact as a result of this proposal. DNR notes that the proposal indicates that members would be reimbursed for expenses but does not specify a funding source. If the department is responsible for paying those expenses, additional resources may have to be requested.

ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture** assume that any expenses associated with the proposal would not be extraordinary and can be assumed as part of its ongoing responsibilities.

Although officials from the **Missouri Senate** did not respond to our request for fiscal information, in response to similar measures, they have indicated the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight assumes the proposal would have no significant impact on any state agency or fund. If significant unanticipated expenditures are incurred or if multiple measures are passed which increase agency expenditures, those requirements could be addressed through the normal state budget process.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would create a Missouri Biomass Technology Commission. Membership would include three state agency directors and four members with experience in alternative energy research or business.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.


L.R. No. 0286-01
Bill No. SB 6
Page 4 of 4
January 14, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture
Office of Administration
 Office of the Deputy Director
 Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Economic Development
Department of Natural Resources

NOT RESPONDING

Missouri Senate



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
January 14, 2005