## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0290-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SJR 2

<u>Subject</u>: Constitutional amendments; Taxation and Revenue - General; Appropriations

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 4, 2005

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
General Revenue	\$0	(\$58,005)	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	(\$58,005)	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0290-01 Bill No. SJR 2 Page 2 of 5 January 4, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) assume that statewide newspaper publication of state statutes cost approximately \$1,289 per column inch based on an estimate provided by the Missouri Press Service. Since three printings are required, the cost is \$1,289 x 3 = \$3,867 per column inch. The estimated total number of inches for this amendment is 15 inches including title header and certification paragraph, therefore the total estimated publication cost is \$3,867 x 15 inches = \$58,005. Estimated costs are based on 2004 amounts and are subject to change.

Officials from the Office of Administration, Office of the Commissioner and Division of Budget and Planning, and the Office of the State Treasurer stated that this proposal would have no direct fiscal or administrative impact on their organizations.

**Oversight** assumes the proposition would be submitted to the voters at the November 2006 general election.

L.R. No. 0290-01 Bill No. SJR 2 Page 3 of 5 January 4, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	, ,		
Cost to Secretary of State Newspaper Advertisements	<u>\$0</u>	(\$58,005)	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$58,005)</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 0290-01 Bill No. SJR 2 Page 4 of 5 January 4, 2005

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal would submit to popular vote a proposition to change several provisions concerning the Budget Reserve Fund:

Emergency appropriations from the Fund would be repaid over four years (currently three) and repayment to the Fund would start two fiscal years after the emergency appropriation (currently repayment begins in the next fiscal year after the emergency appropriation);

At least thirty percent (30%) of excess General Revenue Fund collections over General Revenue spending in a fiscal year would be transferred to the Budget Reserve Fund, subject to the provisions of section 18 or article X of the Missouri Constitution (refunds of excess Total State Revenues);

The General Assembly, in event of a gubernatorial reduction of spending under terms of section 27 of article IV or of a proclamation of emergency, could appropriate up to one-half of the funds transferred to the Budget Reserve Fund due to excess General Revenue collections over expenditures with a majority vote;

The General Assembly could appropriate the other one-half of the funds transferred to the Budget Reserve Fund due to excess General Revenue collections over expenditures with a two-thirds vote; in the event that General Revenue Fund revenues exceed General Revenue Fund expenditures in a fiscal year of an article X, section 18 refund, fifty percent of that excess would be transferred to the Budget Reserve Fund.

The proposition would be submitted to the voters at the November, 2006, general election, or at a special election called by the governor for that purpose.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This proposal would not affect Total State Revenue.

L.R. No. 0290-01 Bill No. SJR 2 Page 5 of 5 January 4, 2005

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of Administration
Office of the Commissioner
Division of Budget and Planning
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Treasurer

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 4, 2005