

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

LR No.: 0375-01
Bill No.: SB 113
Subject: Law Enforcement: Review Boards
Type: Original
Date: February 10, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Local Government	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assumes no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials of the **St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department** stated that this proposal could cost the city as much as \$100,000 annually. Costs of the expanded powers would depend on the level of activity of the civilian review board.

Officials of the **Jefferson County Counselor's Office** stated that the Sheriff's Office has no civilian review board. Officials assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact to Jefferson County.

Officials of the **Jasper County Commission** stated that as long as the provisions of this proposal are not mandatory there would be no cost to their county.

In response to identical legislation of prior legislative sessions (fiscal note 2585-02) the following fiscal impact statements were submitted:

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials of the **Kansas City Manager's Office** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **City of Springfield** assumed that to have a civilian review board was optional, and to extend current powers would have no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **City of St. Joseph** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Franklin County Commission** assume no fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes this proposal does not mandate cities and counties to create civilian review boards. However, for counties that currently have review boards there could be additional fiscal impact. Oversight will show fiscal impact as \$0 to (Unknown).

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This act extends powers to civilian review boards that investigate allegations of misconduct by local law enforcement officers. The powers granted in this act include: subpoena powers, administer oaths, require production of papers, records and documents, and the examination of witnesses.

DESCRIPTION (continued)

The act provides that civilian review boards will also have the power to conduct evidentiary hearings and investigate allegations of racial profiling. Further, the act requires all local law enforcement agencies and their employees to cooperate with civilian review boards in their jurisdiction.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department
Jefferson County County Counselor
Jasper County Commission
Kansas City Manager's Office
Franklin County Commission
City of Springfield
City of St. Joseph



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 10, 2005