COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0567-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 342

Subject: Probation and Parole; Courts; Cities, Towns, Villages; Criminal Procedure

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 18, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on				
General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. No. 0567-01 Bill No. SB 342 Page 2 of 3 February 18, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Corrections**, **City of Lees Summit**, and the **City of Maryland Heights** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0567-01 Bill No. SB 342 Page 3 of 3 February 18, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The proposal could have a fiscal impact on small businesses providing probation and rehabilitation services.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would authorize municipal courts to contract with a public entity or employ a person to serve as the city's probation officer. Currently, such courts may only contract with a private entity to provide probation services. Persons found guilty of municipal ordinances and placed on probation will be required to pay a service fee to the court to pay for the probation services.

Currently, only cities which do not have probation services for persons convicted of ordinance violations may contract with private entities to provide probation services. The proposal would authorize any city to contract with a private or public entity or employ a probation officer to provide probation services.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections City of Lees Summit City of Maryland Heights

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 18, 2005