COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0806-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 159

Subject: Drugs and Controlled Substances; Health Department

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: January 24, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0806-01 Bill No. SB 159 Page 2 of 4 January 24, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Social Services**, the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri State Highway Patrol**, and the **Department of Public Safety - Director's Office** assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** (CTS) assume this proposal adds several substances to the controlled substance schedule. CTS assumes that there may be some increase in the number of criminal cases filed. However, CTS would not anticipate a significant increase in the workload of the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** (OPS) assume this proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** (DOH) assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agency. The DOH states if an impact were to result, funds to support the program would be sought through the appropriations process.

Officials from the **State Public Defender** (SPD) did not respond to our fiscal note request.

CM:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0806-01 Bill No. SB 159 Page 3 of 4 January 24, 2005

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Oversight assumes this proposal would not fiscally impact the SPD.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume this proposal adds substances to the controlled substance schedules.

Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the addition of substances to the controlled substance schedule outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY04 average of \$38.37 per inmate per day, or an annual cost of \$14,005 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Eight (8) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, DOC assumes the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for their agency.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Corrections			-
Probation or Incarceration costs	(<u>Less than</u> \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO GENERAL REVENUE	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 0806-01 Bill No. SB 159 Page 4 of 4 January 24, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal adds substances to the controlled substance schedules.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Mental Health
Department of Public Safety Director's Office
Missouri State Highway Patrol
Office of State Courts Administrator
Office of Prosecution Services
Department of Social Services
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Corrections

NOT RESPONDING: State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 24, 2005