COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0947-03 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 206

Subject: Economic Development

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 1, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0947-03 Bill No. SB 206 Page 2 of 4 February 1, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development (DED)** state the proposed legislation authorizes the establishment of a MODESA project within a 100 year flood plain if the buildings meet Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood proofing certification standards. If passed, this legislation will have a fiscal impact on the state because it will allow Springfield the ability to submit a MODESA project that otherwise would not have been eligible. The project will impact the future ""net new"" increment of state sales tax and state income tax. DED assumes that any costs could be offset by some positive but unknown economic benefit to the state that would be realized by the development of the project.

DED states they have already received funding to administer the MODESA program and this proposed legislation would not change the administrative costs.

Officials from the City of Springfield assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their city.

Officials from **Greene County** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes the proposal may result in an increased utilization of the Missouri Downtown Economic Stimulus Act, but the spending cap for the program (\$150,000,000) has not changed

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0947-03 Bill No. SB 206 Page 3 of 4 February 1, 2005

ASSUMPTION (continued)

from the enabling legislation in 2003 (HB 289). Oversight reflected the potential cost of the program in the fiscal note for the enabling legislation and therefore, assumes this proposal has no additional fiscal impact to the State.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposal exempts buildings meeting certain criteria contained in a one hundred year flood plain in Springfield. The building must have been or will be flood proofed as governed by the Federal Emergency Management Agencies (FEMA) standards. If the authority approves the building as being flood proofed by FEMA standards, the building shall be eligible for the state sales tax increment and the state income tax increment.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0947-03 Bill No. SB 206 Page 4 of 4 February 1, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development City of Springfield

NOT RESPONDING: Greene County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 1, 2005