

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1129-02
Bill No.: SCS for SB 246
Subject: Bonds - General Obligation and Revenue
Type: Original
Date: March 1, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTIONS

Officials from the **Office of the State Treasurer** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization, and defer to the Office of Administration as to the effect the proposal might have on the state's bond rating.

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Division of Accounting** assume there would be no fiscal impact relating to this legislative authorization increase, but there would be a fiscal impact if any of the currently outstanding authorization is actually issued. There would be one time costs of approximately \$196,289 for each issuance, and approximately \$700,000 in annual debt service cost (principal and interest) for every \$10 million dollars issued.

Officials from the **Office of the Governor**, the **Office of the Lieutenant Governor**, the **Office of the Secretary of State**, the **Office of the State Auditor**, the **Office of the Attorney General**, the **Office of Administration, Division of Budget and Planning**, and the **Department of Economic Development**, assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

Officials of the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume that bonds are sold on an as needed basis. Bond sales lag authorization by approximately 3 years.

37(e) money is approved for \$10 million to be spent as follows:

\$7 Million for the 40% State Construction Grant Program

\$3 Million for the Rural Water and Sewer grants

37(g) money is approved for \$10 Million to be spent as follows:

\$5 Million for Rural Water grants and loans

\$5 Million for Rural Sewer grants and loans

37(h) money is approved for \$20 Million to be spent as follows:

\$10 Million for storm water grants

\$10 Million for storm water loans

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
 <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	 FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	 FY 2007	 FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION


This proposal would authorize the Board of Fund Commissioners to issue additional bonds for grants and loans pursuant to several sections of Article III of the Missouri Constitution. The authorizations are for:

- (1) \$10 million of bonds for waste water pollution control, drinking water system improvements, and storm water control pursuant to Section 37(e);
- (2) \$10 million of bonds for rural water and sewer projects pursuant to Section 37(g); and
- (3) \$20 million of bonds for storm water control plans, studies, and projects in first classification counties and the City of St. Louis pursuant to Section 37(h).

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Governor
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Auditor
Office of the Attorney General
Office of the State Treasurer
Office of Administration
 Division of Accounting
 Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Economic Development
Department of Natural Resources



Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director
March 1, 2005