COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1656-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: DB 367

<u>Subject</u>: Employees-Employers; State Employees

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 25, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1656-01 Bill No. SB 367 Page 2 of 5 February 25, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTIONS

Officials from the Office of the State Treasurer, the Office of the State Auditor, the Office of Administration, Division of Budget and Planning and Division of Purchasing and Materials Management, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Economic Development, Public Service Commission, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Higher Education, the Department of Insurance, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, Division of Liquor Control, and Missouri Capitol Police, the State Tax Commission, the Department of Transportation, the Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, and the Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement, assume this proposal would have no impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Missouri Senate** assume this proposal would have no, or minimal cost to their organization.

L.R. No. 1656-01 Bill No. SB 367 Page 3 of 5 February 25, 2005

ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would provide that overtime pay may be requested monthly, rather than quarterly, as is presently the law. Because this legislation would reduce management's ability to have employees use compensatory time, there may be an increase in the amount of overtime paid. However, there is no way at this time to estimate what that increase might be.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety**, **Division of Fire Safety**, responded as follows.

Depending on the availability of funds, the division pays between \$25,000 - \$30,000 in compensatory time annually. This is currently done on a quarterly basis after analysis of projected personal services lapse created by temporarily vacant positions. However, should this legislation pass, the employee would have the ability to request monthly payment. If the Division does not have any vacancies, and no projected lapse funds, then funding may prevent compliance with this law.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** assume this proposal would have minimal cost to their organization.

Oversight assumes this proposal would not have a significant impact on any state agency or fund.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 1656-01 Bill No. SB 367 Page 4 of 5 February 25, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would change requirements for payment of overtime hours for nonexempt state employees. Any nonexempt state employee requesting cash payment for overtime worked would notify the employee's department in writing and state the number of hours, no less than twenty, for which payment is desired. The department would be required to pay the employee within the calendar month following the month in which the request is made.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1656-01 Bill No. SB 367 Page 5 of 5 February 25, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Senate

Office of State Courts Administrator

Office of the Attorney General

Office of the State Treasurer

Office of the State Auditor

Office of Administration

Division of Budget and Planning

Division of Purchasing and Materials Management

Department of Agriculture

Department of Conservation

Department of Economic Development

Public Service Commission

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Higher Education

Department of Insurance

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Public Safety

Office of the Director

Division of Fire Safety

Division of Liquor Control

Missouri Capitol Police

State Tax Commission

Department of Transportation

Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 25, 2005