COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1749-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 513

Subject: Criminal Procedure; Court

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 29, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1749-01 Bill No. SB 513 Page 2 of 4 March 29, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
60	ga.	\$0		
•		FY 2006 FY 2007		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume the proposed legislation would repeal provisions requiring prosecutors to pay certain court costs. CTS assumes there may be some fiscal impact on the courts in terms of loss of funds. CTS does not anticipate the loss to be in excess of \$100,000.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact prosecuting attorneys.

Oversight assumes, based on information received from Office of Prosecution Services and Office of State Courts Administrator, that the provisions requiring prosecutors to pay certain court costs are not generally used. Therefore, Oversight assumes any loss of funds would be minimal and has reflected no fiscal impact.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 1749-01 Bill No. SB 513 Page 3 of 4 March 29, 2005

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would remove the provision from Section 545.050, RSMo, which states that if a defendant is acquitted or the prosecution fails, judgement shall be entered against such prosecutor for the costs.

Currently, with most trials, if the defendant is acquitted, the costs shall be paid by the county except when the prosecutor is adjudged to pay them or it is otherwise provided by law. This proposal repeals the provision stating that the prosecutor can be adjudged to pay the costs. Thus, leaving the statute to state that the county shall pay the costs if the defendant is acquitted.

The proposal would also repeal Sections 550.050, 550.070, and 550.080, RSMo, which specify that the prosecutor is responsible for paying certain court costs.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Office of Prosecution Services

Mickey Wilen

L.R. No. 1749-01 Bill No. SB 513 Page 4 of 4 March 29, 2005

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director March 29, 2005