COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3168-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 683

Subject: Consumer Protection

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 4, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 3168-02 Bill No. SB 683 Page 2 of 4 January 4, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume the costs of the proposed legislation could be absorbed within existing resources. The AGO may receive a substantial number of text messaging complaints in the future and the AGO may seek additional appropriations from the Merchandising Practices Revolving Fund to investigate and prosecute these complaints in future fiscal years.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each hear's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this proposal for Administrative Rules is less than \$1,500. The SOS recognizes this is a small amount and does not expect additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the SOS can sustain with their core budget. Any additional required funding would be handled through the budget process.

L.R. No. 3168-02 Bill No. SB 683 Page 3 of 4 January 4, 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses who subscribe to any business telephone service could experience a fiscal impact as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation allows businesses and cell phone users to voluntarily sign up with the no-call list kept and maintained by the Attorney General's office.

This legislation is not federally mandated and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This legislation could duplicate the Federal Trade Commission's National Do Not Call Registry that covers residential wireless subscribers.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilen

L.R. No. 3168-02 Bill No. SB 683 Page 4 of 4 January 4, 2006

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director January 4, 2006