COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3388-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 584

Subject: Motor Vehicles; Revenue Dept; Buses; Licenses - Driver's; Drunk

Driving/Boating; Education, Elementary and Secondary

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 18, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
General Revenue	(\$24,202)	Unknown	Unknown	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(\$24,202)	Unknown	Unknown	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
State School Moneys Fund*	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds*	\$0	\$0	\$0	

^{*} Offsetting savings and losses to State School Moneys Fund in FY 2009 and FY 2009

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 3388-02 Bill No. SB 584 Page 2 of 6 January 18, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government*	Unknown	\$0	\$0	

^{*}Local School Districts would have offsetting income from increased fines and losses from reduced distribution from State School Moneys Fund in FY 2008 and FY 2009.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Office of the Director** and the **Missouri State Highway Patrol** state there is no direct fiscal impact to either the Director's Office or the Patrol.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** state this proposal will not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume there will be no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state that since the misdemeanor already exists, the proposed additions to statute will not affect DOC.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for

LD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3388-02 Bill No. SB 584 Page 3 of 6 January 18, 2006

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

this proposal for Administrative Rules is less than \$1,500. The SOS recognizes this is a small amount and does not expect additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the SOS can sustain with their core budget. Any additional required funding would be handled through the budget process.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume there is no state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. Should the new amendments to current law result in additional fines or penalties, DESE cannot know how much additional money might be collected by local governments or the Department of Revenue to distribute to the schools. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to schools increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore, the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year; unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

According to officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)**, the proposal, as written, would require DOR to contract programming to meet the August 28, 2006 effective date for the permanent denial of a school bus endorsement. Programming is required to add a new Permanent Denial action type to the Missouri Drivers License System (MODL). 960 hours of programming would be needed at a cost of \$24,202.

Officials from the **Nixa Public School District** and the **Parkway School District** assume this proposal would be no financial burden for school districts.

Officials from the **Poplar Bluff R-I School District** indicated the proposal could have a negative fiscal impact on public schools due to possible decreases in already small driver pools.

L.R. No. 3388-02 Bill No. SB 584 Page 4 of 6 January 18, 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Cost - Department of Revenue Programming Costs	(\$24,202)	\$0	\$0
Savings – Reduced appropriations to State School Moneys Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(\$24,202)</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND			
<u>Savings</u> – Reduced distributions to local school districts	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Losses</u> – Reduced appropriations from General Revenue Fund	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
Revenues – School Districts Income from fines	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Losses</u> – School Districts Reduced distributions from State School Moneys Fund	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

LD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3388-02 Bill No. SB 584 Page 5 of 6 January 18, 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

Under this proposal, the Director of Revenue shall not issue or renew a school bus endorsement to any applicant whose driving record shows that the applicant has been convicted of an intoxication-related traffic offense while operating a school bus. A person currently possessing a school bus endorsement who is convicted of a intoxication-related traffic offense while operating a school bus shall result in the permanent loss of the individual's privilege to possess a school bus endorsement (Section 302.272).

The proposal also requires school bus drivers to notify the school district or the driver's employing contractor whenever the driver receives a citation for an intoxicated-related traffic offense or moving violation. The notice of such citation shall be given prior to the driver resuming operation of a school bus. Failure to notify the school district or the employing contractor of the citation shall constitute a valid reason to discharge such person from the school district's or employing contractor's employ (Section 302.275).

The proposal sets the fine for driving with a revoked license while operating a school bus at \$1,000. The current law does not distinguish the offense by type of vehicle driven and the fine is up to \$1,000 (Section 302.321).

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3388-02 Bill No. SB 584 Page 6 of 6 January 18, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Revenue

Office of State Courts Administrator

Department of Corrections

Department of Public Safety

Office of Director

Missouri State Highway Patrol

Office of Prosecution Services

Office of Secretary of State

Administrative Rules Division

School Districts

Nixa

Poplar Bluff

Parkway

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 18, 2006