# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3987-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 757

Subject: Conservation Dept.; Crimes and Punishment

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: January 25, 2006

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Conservation Commission Fund *	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds *	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	

<sup>\*</sup> expected to exceed \$100,000.

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 3987-01 Bill No. SB 757 Page 2 of 4 January 25, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
<b>Total Estimated</b>				
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume this proposal would have no impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** assume the proposal would require restitution to the state for illegally taking trophy deer. The proposed legislation would credit restitution for illegal deer to the Conservation Commission Fund. The Commission assumes the impact could be positive after the expense of scoring antlers, however, the amount of impact is unknown.

Oversight assumes there would be a significant but unknown amount of restitution paid to the Conservation Commission Fund; and a minimal additional cost to the Department of Conservation for extra documentation, including antler scoring for certain poaching cases. Oversight assumes there would be a net positive impact in excess of \$100,000 per year. Oversight also assumes the Department of Conservation could assume the minimal additional cost with existing resources; however, if additional or unexpected costs are incurred those costs could be addressed through the budget process.

This proposal could affect total state revenue.

L.R. No. 3987-01 Bill No. SB 757 Page 3 of 4 January 25, 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND			
Additional revenue Restitution *	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND * * expected to exceed \$100,000 per year.	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal would add a civil restitution requirement for taking trophy deer in violation of Department of Conservation Regulations.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Conservation

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

SS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3987-01 Bill No. SB 757 Page 4 of 4 January 25, 2006

January 25, 2006