COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4252-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 904

Subject: Property, Real and Personal; Public Buildings; State Departments

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 27, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Facilities Maintenance Reserve	\$62,108	\$76,766	\$79,069	
Various	\$152,058	\$187,944	\$193,582	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$214,166	\$264,710	\$272,651	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 4252-01 Bill No. SB 904 Page 2 of 6 February 27, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Missouri Senate**, the **Missouri House of Representatives**, and the **Office of the Governor** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) stated that many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$1,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

L.R. No. 4252-01 Bill No. SB 904 Page 3 of 6 February 27, 2006

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction** (FMDC) assume this proposal could result in significant savings of at least \$257,000 per year from reduced cost for bonding and reduction of advertising, with a potential reduction in overall project cost.

FMDC officials stated this legislation could have an economic impact on small business that were unable to propose a bid due to the limitation of the bonding issue, and that this proposal could open up opportunities for WBE/MBE businesses.

Officials from the Office of the Attorney General and the Office of the Lieutenant Governor did not respond to our request for information.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
FACILITIES MAINTENANCE RESERVE FUND			
Savings - Office of Administration Construction and maintenance cost	<u>\$62,108</u>	<u>\$76,766</u>	<u>\$79,069</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FACILITIES MAINTENANCE RESERVE FUND	<u>\$62,108</u>	<u>\$76,766</u>	<u>\$79,069</u>
VARIOUS STATE FUNDS			
Savings - Office of Administration Capital improvement projects	<u>\$152,058</u>	<u>\$187,944</u>	<u>\$193,582</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON VARIOUS STATE FUNDS	<u>\$152,058</u>	<u>\$187,944</u>	<u>\$193,582</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 4252-01 Bill No. SB 904 Page 4 of 6 February 27, 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal could have a direct fiscal impact to small businesses involved in construction and building maintenance.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would amend the requirements for management, design and construction of state buildings.

- A. The Second State Capitol Commission would assume the responsibilities of the Capitol Review Commission and develop written policy that establishes guidelines for selection and placement of plaques, monuments, statues, pictures, and other articles in or on all buildings and grounds under the control of the board of public buildings and make a determination after a review of all requests from entreating parties as to the installation of such articles.
- B. The Office of Administration, Division of Facilities Management, Design, and Construction would supervise the design, construction, renovation, and repair of state facilities, except as other wise provided by law, and except for facilities belonging to the institutions of higher education, the Highways and Transportation Commission, and the Conservation Commission. The Division would be responsible for the review of all requests for appropriations for capital improvements.
- C. The fine for a second or subsequent overparking offense on the same day would increase to twenty dollars.
- D. The Director of the Division of Facilities Management, Design, and Construction could impose debarment on a company or firm and its named principals for any or a combination of specified reasons. A contractor could be disqualified for a period of one year and until reinstated under rules established by the Director to receive invitations for bids, requests for proposals, or the award of any contract by the state. The disqualification would apply to a contract as a joint venture, contractor, subcontractor, consultant, or subconsultant on state projects
- E. The Division of Facilities Management, Design, and Construction could develop and require a prequalification process for bidders when the nature of the project to be bid meets certain specified standards.

L.R. No. 4252-01 Bill No. SB 904 Page 5 of 6 February 27, 2006

DESCRIPTION (continued)

- F. The Director of the Division of Facilities Management, Design, and Construction could waive the requirement of competitive bids for construction projects when the Director has determined that there exists a threat to life, property, public health, or public safety or when immediate projects are necessary for repairs to state property in order to protect against further loss of or damage to state property, to prevent or minimize serious disruption in state services, or to ensure the integrity of state records. Emergency contracts for construction would be made with as much competition as is practicable under the circumstances.
- G. The Commissioner of Administration would negotiate all leases and purchase all lands, except for departments which derive their power to acquire lands from the state constitution.
- H. The Board of Public Buildings could dispose of state owned property as part of a real estate transaction without approval by the Committee on Legislative Research of the General Assembly when the General Assembly is not in session, when such disposal is necessary to complete a time limited transaction and is requested and recommended by the Commissioner of Administration.
- I. The Director of the Division of Facilities Management, Design, and Construction could authorized design-build contracts in certain instances. The Director could determine whether a design-build procurement process is necessary for certain projects; authorize the division to contract with consultants to prepare proposals, review documents, resolve disputes, and make inspections; create an evaluation team within the division to review design-build proposals; and develop a three-phase process in which proposals would be solicited. The first phase would involve the soliciting of qualified design builders, the second phase would narrow the field of qualified design builders, and the third would be the bid submission. The Division would be required to reimburse unsuccessful bidders for costs incurred during the proposal submission process.
- J. The revenue bond limit would be increased for the acquisition of public buildings in cities by the Board of Public Buildings.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4252-01 Bill No. SB 904 Page 6 of 6 February 27, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Senate
Missouri House of Representatives
Office of the Governor
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Administration
Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction

NOT RESPONDING

Office of the Attorney General Office of the Lieutenant Governor

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 27, 2006