# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4317-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 892

Subject: Banks and Financial Institutions; Consumer Protection; Credit and Bankruptcy;

Mortgages and Deeds

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: January 27, 2006

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
<b>Total Estimated</b>				
Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. No. 4317-01 Bill No. SB 892 Page 2 of 3 January 27, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

# FISCAL ANALYSIS

# **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume there will be no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development - Division of Finance** and **Division of Credit Unions** stated this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 4317-01 Bill No. SB 892 Page 3 of 3 January 27, 2006

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal could have a fiscal impact on small businesses due to increased fee revenue.

### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposed legislation allows a financial institution to charge for reversing an electronic funds transfer. This proposal also provides that a debtor is not entitled to receive notice of the right to cure, in the case of a second mortgage loan, after the third default before the lender can enforce the security interest. Upon the third default, the debtor may no longer cure.

The proposal also adds late charges and expenses of foreclosures incurred by the lender to the definition of "current obligation of the debtor", which must be paid to cure a default on a second mortgage loan.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Economic Development
Division of Finance
Division of Credit Unions

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 27, 2006