

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4982-01
Bill No.: SB 1221
Subject: Crimes and Punishment
Type: Original
Date: March 10, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
| Local Government | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume the proposal clarifies the language of the statute. In FY05, there were a total of 13 people who pled guilty or were found guilty of the third stealing-related offense. Review of each of these cases indicated that all of these offenders would have qualified to receive the same sentence under both the previous and proposed interpretation of this law. Therefore, the potential fiscal impact for passage of this proposal is \$0.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> | FY 2007 (10 Mo.) | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> | FY 2007 (10 Mo.) | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation provides that a person who has pled guilty to or been found guilty of two stealing-related offenses committed at different times within the past 10 years and is also found guilty of or pleads guilty to a third stealing-related offense is guilty of a class D felony.

Currently, the law states that a person who has previously pled guilty to or been found guilty on two separate occasions in the last ten years is guilty of a class D felony if he or she is found guilty of or pleads guilty to a third stealing-related offense.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.


L.R. No. 4982-01
Bill No. SB 1221
Page 4 of 4
March 10, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety
– Missouri State Highway Patrol
Office of Prosecution Services

NOT RESPONDING

Office of the State Public Defender



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
March 10, 2006