## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 5078-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 1030

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Consumer Protection

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 17, 2006

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5078-01 Bill No. SB 1030 Page 2 of 5 February 17, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol** and the **– Director's Office** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal will not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors, although it may lead to an increase in prosecutions/caseloads.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume they cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

L.R. No. 5078-01 Bill No. SB 1030 Page 3 of 5 February 17, 2006

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY05 average of \$39.13 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$14,282 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

The DOC does not anticipate the need for capital improvements at this time. It must be noted that the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if passed into law, could result in the need for additional capital improvements funding if the total number of new offenders exceeds current planned capacity.

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders;
- The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence; and
- The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the Office of the Attorney General and the Office of the State Public Defender did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 5078-01 Bill No. SB 1030 Page 4 of 5 February 17, 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation adds telephone and cellular phone call logs to the forms of identification that can be used to commit identity theft.

The proposal creates the crime of selling call logs. A person is guilty of a class D felony if he or she, without legal authorization, sells the telephone or cellular phone call logs of another person without his or her consent. Each selling of a call log shall constitute a separate offense.

The proposal also creates the crime of possessing or purchasing call logs. A person is guilty of a class A misdemeanor if he or she, without legal authorization, possesses, purchases, or otherwise obtains the telephone or cellular phone call logs of another person without his or her consent. The possession, purchase, or obtainment of each call log shall constitute a separate offense.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5078-01 Bill No. SB 1030 Page 5 of 5 February 17, 2006

### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety

- Missouri State Highway Patrol
- Director's Office

Office of Prosecution Services

## **NOT RESPONDING**

Office of the Attorney General Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 17, 2006