

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5118-07
Bill No.: Perfected SS for SCS for SB 1041
Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Consumer Protection; Public Health; Health Care
Type: Original
Date: April 12, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Social Services, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, and the Department of Insurance** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

In response to a previous version of the proposal (SB 1041, LR # 5118-01), officials from the **Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

In response to a previous version of the proposal (SCS for SB 1041, LR # 5118-03), officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assumed the proposal will not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors, although it may lead to an increase in prosecutions/caseloads.

L.R. No. 5118-07
Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 1041
Page 3 of 6
April 12, 2006

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume they cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY05 average of \$39.13 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$14,282 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Eight (8) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, it is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

Officials from the Office of the State Public Defender did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Costs – Department of Corrections</u>			
Incarceration/probation costs	<u>(Less than \$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than \$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than \$100,000)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(Less than \$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than \$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than \$100,000)</u>
 <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>			
	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation creates the crime of knowingly receiving, selling, or obtaining personal health information without the person's consent, a class C felony. There are exemptions for law enforcement officers, the Missouri Attorney General, and county or municipal prosecutors performing their official duties. There are also exemptions for health care providers obtaining, using, disclosing, or permitting access to any personal health information record as otherwise authorized or required by state or federal law. There are exemptions for insurance companies and workers' compensation carriers. No provision of this section shall be construed so as to limit conducting any medical research. No provision of this section shall be construed to prohibit reporting personal health information where authorized or required by law.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.


SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Social Services
Department of Public Safety
 – Missouri State Highway Patrol
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan
Department of Insurance
Office of Prosecution Services

L.R. No. 5118-07
Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 1041
Page 6 of 6
April 12, 2006

NOT RESPONDING

Office of the State Public Defender

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mickey Wilson". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
April 12, 2006