# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0192-03

Bill No.: HCS for SB 166

Subject: Consumer Protection; Tourism; Transient Guest Tax; Agriculture and Animals;

**Emblems** 

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 5, 2007

Bill Summary: Requires certain information to be provided to potential time-share

purchasers prior to a sales presentation; defines "transient guests" as it applies to Pulaski County; designates bobwhite quail, crayfish, and three-

toed box turtle as official state symbols.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0192-03 Bill No. HCS for SB 166

Page 2 of 5 April 5, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0192-03 Bill No. HCS for SB 166 Page 3 of 5 April 5, 2007

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

*§10.012, 10.125, 10.175* 

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture (AGR)** assume no fiscal impact to their agency.

In response to the introduced versions of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Conservation** stated there would be no fiscal impact to their funds.

Officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)** assume, based on current content and distribution of the School Information Packet as published, the SOS would revise the materials of the packet to include the newly designated state symbol. The estimated cost to revise the packet for the approximately 100,000 packets distributed each fiscal year is \$500. At this point SOS will have to redesign the symbols page to accommodate the increasing number of state symbols.

Based on the current content and layout of the state symbols section of the Official Manual, the SOS would revise this section to include a photo of each symbol and may result in additional page(s) to the book. The estimated cost of revising this section of the Official Manual would be \$0.03 per book. Additionally, the office would incur the cost payment to an artist for a rendition of the new symbol based on historical costs, this is approximately \$100 per symbol. Total costs are as follows: FY 2007 - \$2,000; 2008 - \$1,751; FY 2009 - \$1,803.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing packets and sections of the State Manual related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of packets at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

\$67.1000

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development - Division of Tourism** assume no fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes no state or local fiscal impact.

Officials from the Pulaski County Commission did not respond to a request for fiscal note.

LMD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0192-03 Bill No. HCS for SB 166 Page 4 of 5 April 5, 2007

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

#### *§407.610*

In response to the introduced version of this proposal, officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assumed any potential costs arising from the proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development - Division of Tourism** stated there would be no fiscal impact to their agency resulting from this proposed legislation.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0192-03 Bill No. HCS for SB 166 Page 5 of 5 April 5, 2007

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of Secretary of State
Publications Division
Department of Economic Development
Division of Tourism
Department of Agriculture
Department of Conservation
Office of Attorney General

### **NOT RESPONDING**

**Pulaski County Commission** 

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director April 5, 2007