COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0243-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 135

Subject: Banks and Financial Institutions; Bonds - General Obligation and Revenue;

Education, Elementary and Secondary; Education, Higher

Type: Original

Date: February 2, 2007

Bill Summary: This proposal allows the Missouri higher education loan authority to

provide primary school loans.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0243-01 Bill No. SB 135 Page 2 of 4 February 2, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Department of Higher Education** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Missouri Higher Education Loan Authority** (**MOHELA**) assume this proposed legislation should not have an impact on MOHELA's finances or state or federal funds in the short term. As MOHELA develops new products, they expect to break even on them.

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** state this legislation proposes to alter some of the fundamental purposes of MOHELA. It is anticipated that, should litigation to filed challenging this legislation that the Attorney General's Office assumes that any potential costs arising from defense of the statute change can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (**SOS**) state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials at the **Office of the State Treasurer** assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010

L.R. No. 0243-01 Bill No. SB 135 Page 4 of 4 February 2, 2007

	\$0	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Higher Education Office of the State Treasurer Office of the Attorney General MO Higher Education Loan Authority Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 2, 2007