

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0496-01  
Bill No.: SB 85  
Subject: Hospitals; Health Care Professionals; Health Department; Drugs and Controlled Substances  
Type: Original  
Date: February 1, 2007

---

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes a prescription monitoring program.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>       |                    |                    |                    |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| FUND AFFECTED   | FY 2008            | FY 2009            | FY 2010            |
| General Revenue   | (\$762,646)        | (\$333,825)        | (\$336,666)        |
|   |                    |                    |                    |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b> | <b>(\$762,646)</b> | <b>(\$333,825)</b> | <b>(\$336,666)</b> |

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>              |            |            |            |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED   | FY 2008    | FY 2009    | FY 2010    |
|   |            |            |            |
|   |            |            |            |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>                  |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>  | <b>FY 2008</b> | <b>FY 2009</b> | <b>FY 2010</b> |
|   |                |                |                |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b> | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>                                      | <b>FY 2008</b> | <b>FY 2009</b> | <b>FY 2010</b> |
|   |                |                |                |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>                  | <b>0</b>       | <b>0</b>       | <b>0</b>       |

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b> |                |                |                |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>                       | <b>FY 2008</b> | <b>FY 2009</b> | <b>FY 2010</b> |
| <b>Local Government</b>                    | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

---

## **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender, Missouri State Highway Patrol, Office of the State Courts Administrator, Department of Public Safety, Department of Social Services** and the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions & Professional Registration** each assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** assumes this proposal does exclude hospitals under Chapter 197 RSMo, a practitioner or other authorized person who administers such substances, and wholesale distributors of such controlled substances. Those facilities that operate pharmacies do so in support of inpatient programs for the most part, and thus most of the dispensing activity performed would not be subject to this law. The exception would be those facilities with dispensing pharmacies that fill Emergency Services prescriptions. Initial set-up of records and monthly maintenance and logging of activity would be required; however, this cost should be minimal and DMH would absorb these costs. Dispensers of Methadone & Naltrexone under the Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse programs which fit the bill's definition of a "dispenser" may incur minimal costs associated with meeting the requirements of the bill. Such costs would not be paid for by the DMH.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** state that in the absence of estimates as to the number of increased cases that would be referred to County Prosecutors for charges because of this proposed legislation, it is difficult to determine if this proposal would have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors or the OPS. It is presently assumed, in the absence of such estimates, that there would not be a significant number of cases referred for prosecution and therefore prosecutors would not experience a significant direct fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** state past fiscal note estimates for proposed legislation filed in previous legislative sessions to create a prescription monitoring program were based upon the experience of other states. Over the past several years, there have been an increasing number of states either with enabling legislation in place to create a prescription monitoring program or with active prescription monitoring programs in place. As a result, there is also increased competition among vendors providing services and support.

Information related to prescription monitoring program vendor contract information was sought and obtained related to other states with active prescription monitoring programs. The information obtained reflects costs associated with contracts with one vendor. Based upon the competitive nature of these contracts, it is assumed for the purposes of this fiscal note that costs for implementing such a program in Missouri would be similar to the cost information obtained.

Vendor Cost Information for Implementation of a Prescription Monitoring Program:

|   |           |           |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Program manager (database building, data management)            | \$105,000 |           |
| Power search (reporting capabilities)                           | \$150,000 |           |
| Web center (requests and provision of reports to practitioners) | \$ 96,000 |           |
| State specific customization (10% of costs noted above)         | \$ 35,000 |           |
| Pseudoephedrine sales tracking program (optional)               | \$105,000 |           |
|   | Subtotal  | \$491,000 |
| Collection of data from dispensers                              | \$120,000 |           |
| Implementation and training (one time cost)                     | \$ 35,000 |           |
|   | Subtotal  | \$155,000 |
|   | Total     | \$646,000 |

Estimated fiscal impact for the Information Technology Services Division (ITSD) includes: 3 Servers, MSSQL Server License (database), SSL Certificate (for encryption), staff time, and Crystal Decisions Crystal Reports (Developer Edition V9.0) for a total impact of \$62,000.

Estimated Implementation Costs:  $\$646,000 + \$62,000 = \mathbf{\$708,000 \text{ for FY08}}$

SEC:LR:OD (12/06)

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance has announced the availability of implementation grants to assist states in implementing prescription monitoring programs. An application will be submitted for a maximum of \$400,000 in one time grant monies in response to this competitive grant announcement. Subsequent grant monies may be available for enhancements to the program. Since we are not assured of receiving these grant funds, this amount has not been included in the fiscal note worksheet.

On-going, annual estimated costs of the proposed program:

Approximately 20% of the \$491,000 vendor implementation costs, plus \$120,000 annual cost for collection of data from dispensers is \$218,200 ( $\$491,000 \times 0.20 + \$120,000$ ).

Approximately one-third of the initial cost of ITSD hardware and software needs is \$21,000 ( $\$62,000/3 = \$21,000$ )

Estimated On-going, annual costs:  $\$218,200 + \$21,000 = \mathbf{\$239,200 \text{ for FY09 and FY10}}$

Staffing for the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs:

One Health and Senior Services Manager (1) will be needed to perform the following duties:

- Develop grant requests for DEA funds and prepare required reporting based on the conditions of any grant received;

- Draft a Request for Proposal to solicit bids for the required database. Once the contract is awarded, this individual will be needed on an ongoing basis to monitor the database contract and program, maintain ongoing communication with professional organizations regarding compliance with reporting requirements, and other state and local agencies and the public regarding the program;

- Coordinate with investigative management of the BNDD for enforcement activities and with law enforcement and regulatory agencies of this and other states for sharing data and tracking outcomes;

- Develop policies and procedures for reporting by dispensers, access to data by authorized parties; provide technical assistance to program participants on matters relating to the program;

- Supervise subordinate staff involved in program implementation; and

- Design and prepare reports of program data; and review data collected to determine trends.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

It may be possible to include many of these functions in the vendor contract, or to require the vendor to provide staffing for those functions as part of the vendor contract. This would increase initial and ongoing costs related to this contract. The salary included for the broad-band manager position is equivalent to entry level plus two steps.

One Office Support Assistant (keyboarding), would be necessary to provide clerical support to the program and to respond to inquiries and requests for database reports received. This individual will perform coordination of communication with other agencies and the public and maintenance of memoranda of understanding for data sharing; assist practitioners in obtaining access to the reporting subsystem of the program and generate and e-mail, or generate, print and fax reports as requested by authorized individuals and agencies that cannot access this information via the internet. This individual will also be responsible for responding to routine telephone inquiries regarding the program. A New Decision Item has been submitted for an on-line registration process for the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs. Should this request be funded, a portion of the duties of existing staff might be shifted to eliminate the need for one new FTE. The salary included for the Office Support Assistant is two-steps above entry level.

**Oversight** has, for fiscal note purposes only, changed the starting salary for the DHSS positions to correspond to the first step above minimum for comparable positions in the state's merit system pay grid. This decision reflects a study of actual starting salaries for new state employees for a six month period and the policy of the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research.

Oversight also adjusted the cost of the additional FTE to reflect six months in FY08.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government                      FY 2008                      FY 2009                      FY 2010  
 (10 Mo.)

**GENERAL REVENUE FUND**

Costs - Department of Health and Senior Services

|                               |                           |                           |                           |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Personal Services             | (\$29,695)                | (\$61,171)                | (\$63,007)                |
| Fringe Benefits               | (\$13,440)                | (\$27,686)                | (\$28,517)                |
| Equipment and Expense Program | (\$11,511)                | (\$5,768)                 | (\$5,942)                 |
|                               | <u>(\$708,000)</u>        | <u>(\$239,200)</u>        | <u>(\$239,200)</u>        |
| <b>Total Costs - DHSS</b>     | <b><u>(\$762,646)</u></b> | <b><u>(\$333,825)</u></b> | <b><u>(\$336,666)</u></b> |
| FTE Change - DHSS             | 2 FTE                     | 2 FTE                     | 2 FTE                     |

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND**                      **(\$762,646)**                      **(\$333,825)**                      **(\$336,666)**

|   |       |       |       |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Estimated Net FTE Change for General Revenue Fund | 2 FTE | 2 FTE | 2 FTE |
|---|-------|-------|-------|

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government                      FY 2008                      FY 2009                      FY 2010  
 (10 Mo.)

**\$0**                      **\$0**                      **\$0**

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Licensed pharmacies and dispensing practitioners may incur an indeterminate fiscal impact for purchasing electronic equipment, software and related man-hours required in entering and transmitting data to the Department of Health and Senior Services. Any cost incurred may be offset somewhat by the benefits provided by being able to access controlled substance prescription data from the program.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This legislation establishes a prescription monitoring program in the Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS). The program will monitor the prescribing and dispensing of all Schedule II through Schedule V controlled substances by all licensed professionals who prescribe or dispense these substances in Missouri. The dispenser must electronically submit to the DHSS information for each prescription. The legislation specifies the frequency of the submissions. The DHSS may issue a waiver to a dispenser who is unable to submit the required information electronically. If a waiver is obtained, a dispenser may submit the required information in paper format or by other approved means. With certain listed exceptions, all submitted prescription information shall be confidential.

The legislation authorizes the release of non-personal, general information for statistical, educational, and research purposes. The DHSS may contract with other state agencies or private vendors to implement the provisions of this legislation. The legislation contains penalty provisions for dispensers and authorized persons who violate provisions of this proposal. The DHSS is required to implement certain education courses regarding the prescription monitoring program. The DHSS shall, when appropriate, work with associations for impaired professionals to ensure ongoing monitoring and treatment and encourage individual patients who are addicted to substances monitored by the program to receive addiction treatment.


The provisions of this legislation shall be effective on January 1, 2008 and sunset in six years.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.



SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Mental Health  
Department of Health and Senior Services  
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions & Professional Registration  
Office of the State Public Defender  
Office of the Secretary of State  
Missouri State Highway Patrol  
Office of the State Courts Administrator  
Department of Social Services  
Department of Public Safety  
Office of Prosecution Services



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
February 1, 2007