## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0539-03

Bill No.: SCS for SB 150

Subject: Banks and Financial Institutions; Bonds-General Obligation and Revenue;

Elections

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 9, 2007

Bill Summary: Limits investment firm and law firm support in general obligation bond

elections.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0539-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 150

Page 2 of 5 March 9, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Total Estimated</b>				
Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0539-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 150 Page 3 of 5

Page 3 of 5 March 9, 2007

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

The following agencies reported there would be no fiscal impact to their respective agencies as a result of this proposed legislation: Department of Insurance, Finance, and Professional Regulation; Office of State Treasurer; Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission and Division of Accounting; Office of Secretary of State; Missouri Ethics Commission.

In response to the introduced version of this proposal, officials from the **Office of Attorney General** stated this proposal would have no effect on their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** state this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their agency or on local school districts.

Officials from the following counties indicated no fiscal impact: Cass; St Louis; Texas; Sullivan.

Officials from the cities of Centralia and West Plains indicated no fiscal impact.

Officials from the following school districts also assume no fiscal impact: **Sikeston; Mexico; Maryville.** 

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<b>\$0</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<b>\$0</b>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

LMD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0539-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 150 Page 4 of 5 March 9, 2007

### **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Attorney General Office of Administration

Administrative Hearing Commission

Division of Accounting

Department of Insurance, Finance, and Professional Regulation

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Missouri Ethics Commission

Office of Secretary of State

Office of State Treasurer

Counties

Sullivan

Cass

St Louis

Texas

Cities

Centralia

West Plains

**School Districts** 

Mexico

Maryville

Sikeston

Mickey Wilson, CPA

L.R. No. 0539-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 150 Page 5 of 5 March 9, 2007

> Director March 9, 2007