# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1107-04

Bill No.: HCS for SCS for SB 226

Subject: Department of Revenue; Highway Patrol; Motor Vehicles; Drunk Driving/Boating

Type: Original Date: May 4, 2007

Bill Summary: The proposal revises certain driving offenses.

## FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue			
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1107-04

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 226

Page 2 of 5 May 4, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 1107-04

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 226

Page 3 of 5 May 4, 2007

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the Department of Transportation, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Revenue, Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol, – Director's Office, – Missouri State Water Patrol, and the Department of Social Services assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume this proposal enhances crimes. The penalty provisions are punishable by up to a class A misdemeanor.

Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the enhancement of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY06 average of \$39.43 per inmate per day, or an annual cost of \$14,394 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY06 average of \$2.52 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$920 per offender).

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders:
- The low status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence; and
- The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

DOC does not anticipate the need for capital improvements at this time. It must be noted that the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if passed into law, could result in the need for additional capital improvements funding if the total number of new offenders exceeds current planned capacity.

BLG:LR:OD (12/06)

L.R. No. 1107-04

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 226

Page 4 of 5 May 4, 2007

## <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional costs, but DOC assumes the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

# Officials from the Office of Prosecution Services and the Office of the State Public Defender did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

The proposal includes an emergency clause for the provisions contained in section 577.029, making this section in full force and effect upon passage and approval.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1107-04 Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 226 Page 5 of 5 May 4, 2007

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Transportation
Department of Corrections
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Revenue
Department of Social Services
Department of Public Safety

- Director's Office
- Missouri State Highway Patrol
- Missouri State Water Patrol

## **NOT RESPONDING**

Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 4, 2007