# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1512-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 278

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Criminal Procedure; Department of Corrections

Type: Original

Date: January 29, 2007

Bill Summary: The proposal requires certain sexual offenders to serve a mandatory

minimum prison term of at least three years.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	0) (Less than \$100,000) (Less than \$1		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1512-01 Bill No. SB 278 Page 2 of 5 January 29, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1512-01 Bill No. SB 278 Page 3 of 5 January 29, 2007

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state that in 2006 there were 77 convictions under the sex offender registration law and 2 of the offenders had other convictions committed within one month of the registration offense. Both of these offenses had a 2-year sentence and the offenders are likely to serve 50% of the sentence. The net impact of the new offense is an additional 2 years for the 2 offenders. The number of convictions under the sex offender registration laws is increasing but most of the convictions are likely to be served concurrently with the sex offender registration conviction.

DOC assumes they cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY06 average of \$39.43 per inmate per day, or an annual cost of \$14,394 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY06 average of \$2.52 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$920 per offender).

At this time, the DOC is unable to determine the number of people who would be convicted under the provisions of this bill and therefore the number of additional inmate beds that may be required as a consequence of passage of this proposal. Estimated construction cost for one new medium to maximum-security inmate bed is \$55,000. Utilizing this per-bed cost provides for a conservative estimate by the DOC, as facility start-up costs are not included and entire facilities and/or housing units would have to be constructed to cover the cost of housing new commitments resulting from the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if adopted as statute.

L.R. No. 1512-01 Bill No. SB 278 Page 4 of 5 January 29, 2007

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Seven (7) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new enhancement, it is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** state that in the absence of data as to the number of cases that would be subject to the provisions of this proposed legislation, it is difficult to determine if this proposal would have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors or the OPS. It is presently assumed, in the absence of such data, that the most likely scenario is that more of the criminal cases subject to the provisions of this proposed legislation would be decided by trial rather than having the cases disposed of by plea.

**Oversight** assumes the Office of Prosecution Services and county prosecutors could absorb any cost generated as a result of the proposal within existing resources.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	,		
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

BLG:LR:OD (12/06)

L.R. No. 1512-01 Bill No. SB 278 Page 5 of 5 January 29, 2007

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation requires any person who pleads guilty to or is found guilty of a felony to serve a term of imprisonment of not less than three years if he or she was required to register as a sex offender at the time of the offense and failed to do so in violation of Section 589.425, RSMo.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 29, 2007