COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1708-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 458

Subject: State Attorney General; Motor Fuel

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 21, 2007

Bill Summary: The proposal modifies provisions concerning the sale of motor fuel.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1708-02 Bill No. SB 458 Page 2 of 5 February 21, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1708-02 Bill No. SB 458 Page 3 of 5 February 21, 2007

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources**, **Department of Public Safety** – **Director's Office**, – **Missouri State Highway Patrol**, **Office of the Secretary of State**, and the **Office of the State Public Defender** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume any costs associated with this proposal can be absorbed within existing resources. AGO assumes this proposal is intended to facilitate enforcement actions against gas stations that market fuel below cost. While this proposal may prompt additional complaints upon which the AGO may act, the AGO assumes any increase in complaints will be modest. If the AGO does experience a significant increase in complaints due to this proposal, AGO may seek necessary appropriations to handle the additional caseload.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume they cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY06 average of \$39.43 per inmate per day, or an annual cost of \$14,394 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY06 average of \$2.52 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$920 per offender).

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders;
- The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence; and

L.R. No. 1708-02 Bill No. SB 458 Page 4 of 5 February 21, 2007

ASSUMPTION (continued)

• The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

DOC does not anticipate the need for capital improvements at this time. DOC notes the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if passed into law, could result in the need for additional capital improvements funding if the total number of new offenders exceeds current planned capacity.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the Office of Prosecution Services did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 1708-02 Bill No. SB 458 Page 5 of 5 February 21, 2007

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Natural Resources Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol

- Director's Office

Office of the Secretary of State Office of the State Public Defender

NOT RESPONDING

Office of Prosecution Services

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 21, 2007