# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1944-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 554

Subject: Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; County Officials; Mortgages and

Deeds; Property Real and Personal; State Tax Commission; Taxation and

Revenue - Property

Type: Original

Date: March 5, 2007

Bill Summary: Would modify provisions relating to the taxation of real property.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 201					
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1944-01 Bill No. SB 554 Page 2 of 5 March 5, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	8 FY 2009 FY 2			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **Office of the Cole County Assessor**, the **Office of the Jefferson County Assessor**, and the **Office of the Nodaway County Treasurer** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State**, **Missouri State Library**, assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization but would have an unknown impact on public library districts.

Officials from **Texas County** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization, but future action by the State Tax Commission or the county commission could have a negative impact on the county's General Revenue Fund.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** (TAX) assume this proposal would require TAX to oversee the education and certification of all assessors and their staff and to conduct periodic ratio studies to determine the quality and level of assessments of real property for each assessment jurisdiction. The proposal would require one (1.0) FTE Management Analysis Specialist II to travel to the 114 counties and the City of St. Louis. This employee would be responsible for training and working with each assessor and his/her staff. The employee would incur extensive travel related expenses and wwould need a state vehicle. Our records indicate the average mileage for a STC employee is 22,000 miles a year at a cost of .15 per mile.

TAX submitted an estimated cost to implement the provisions in this proposal including the additional FTE and related benefits, equipment, and expenditures totaling \$68,827 for FY 2008, \$65,252 for FY 2009, and \$67,110 for FY 2010. However, in response to a similar proposal in the previous session (SB 1140 LR 4247-12P) TAX assumed the proposal would have no significant fiscal impact on their organization.

**Oversight** will use the previous TAX response; if unanticipated costs are incurred, or if multiple proposals are enacted which create an additional workload for TAX, resources could be requested through the budget process.

Officials from the City of St. Louis did not respond to our request for information.

L.R. No. 1944-01 Bill No. SB 554 Page 4 of 5 March 5, 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal would modify provisions relating to the taxation of real property.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1944-01 Bill No. SB 554 Page 5 of 5 March 5, 2007

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the Secretary of State
Department of Economic Development
Department of Revenue
State Tax Commission
Texas County
Office of the Jefferson County Assessor
Office of the Cole County Assessor
Office of the Nodaway County Treasurer

## **NOT RESPONDING**

City of St. Louis

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

March 5, 2007