## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1992-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 441

Subject: Social Services Department; Taxation and Revenue, General; Taxation and

Revenue, Income

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 30, 2007

Bill Summary: This proposal expands the definition of eligible donation for the residential

treatment agency tax credit program.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2008 FY 2009 F					
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1992-01 Bill No. SB 441 Page 2 of 5 March 30, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	08 FY 2009 FY			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1992-01 Bill No. SB 441 Page 3 of 5 March 30, 2007

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Social Services** and the **Department of Revenue** each assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** assume the proposed legislation should not result in additional costs or savings to the Division of Budget and Planning.

This proposal expands the definition of eligible donations under the Residential Treatment Agency tax credit program. A taxpayer is issued tax credits for 50% of the value of the eligible donations. However, the agency is required to remit to the state an amount equal to the amount of credit before the credit is issued. Therefore, this program will have no impact on general and total state revenues.

L.R. No. 1992-01 Bill No. SB 441 Page 4 of 5 March 30, 2007

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP)** state it is unknown how many insurance companies will choose to participate in this program and take advantage of the tax credits. The Department can not estimate how much would be lost in premium tax revenue as a result of tax credits. Credits cannot exceed total tax liability. Premium tax revenue is split 50/50 between General Revenue and County Foreign Insurance Fund except for domestic Stock Property and Casualty Companies who pay premium tax to the County Stock Fund. The County Foreign Insurance Fund is later distributed to school districts through out the state. County Stock Funds are later distributed to the school district and county treasurer of the county in which the principal office of the insurer is located. It is unknown how each of these funds may be impacted by this tax credit each year.

**Oversight** had taken into account the fiscal impact to the DIFP when the fiscal note was completed on the TAFP SB 614 in 2006. This proposal would have no fiscal impact upon the DIFP and would not change the original fiscal impact included in the 2006 fiscal note.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 1992-01 Bill No. SB 441 Page 5 of 5 March 30, 2007

### **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

This legislation makes taxpayer donations of cash, publicly-traded stocks and bonds, and real estate to residential treatment agencies eligible donations for tax credits. Such donations will be valued and documented according to rules promulgated by the Department of Social Services.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration Office of Administration - Budget and Planning Department of Revenue Department of Social Services Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 30, 2007