# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:	2199-04
<u>Bill No.</u> :	Perfected SB 605
Subject:	St. Louis: Transportation Sales Tax
<u>Type</u> :	Original
Date:	March 30, 2007

Bill Summary: Provides that the "coupling Provision" of Section 94.660, which currently requires both the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County to approve a transportation sales tax before a transportation sales tax can go into effect in either jurisdiction, shall not apply to any taxes approved by the voters on or after August 28, 2007.

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on				
General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 20					
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 2199-04 Bill No. Perfected SB 605 Page 2 of 4 March 30, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 2					
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u>						
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

□ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 2199-04 Bill No. Perfected SB 605 Page 3 of 4 March 30, 2007

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Revenue** assume no fiscal impact to the department.

Officials of the office of the **Director of Administration of St. Louis County** assumes no fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes that by removing the "coupling provision" contained in current law, would make establishing the sales tax easier to impose in either jurisdiction. Current law requires passage by both the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County before either could impose a sales tax for transportation purposes. **Oversight** assumes no state or local fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### RWB:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2199-04 Bill No. Perfected SB 605 Page 4 of 4 March 30, 2007

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue Director of Administration - St. Louis County

## NOT RESPONDING

City of St. Louis

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director March 30, 2007

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)