## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2323-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 601

Subject: Environmental Protection; Motor Fuel; Natural Resources Dept.

Type: Original Date: April 4, 2007

Bill Summary: Requires the Department of Natural Resources to identify underground

storage tanks that are ineligible to receive petroleum and prohibits the

deposit of petroleum into such tanks.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010			
\$0	60	\$0			
	FY 2008				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 2323-01 Bill No. SB 601 Page 2 of 4 April 4, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture**, **Department of Health and Senior Services** and **Department of Transportation** assume no fiscal impact to their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume this proposal would meet the minimum requirements for delivery prohibition as described by guidance on the subject issued by EPA as required by the federal Energy Policy Act of 2005, Title XV, Section B which places several requirements upon tank owners/operators, fuel marketers and distributors, and the states. Failure of a state to implement these requirements jeopardizes the state's funding from the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund. The state currently receives \$1.2 million for LUST from Subtitle I funding.

The installation of spill prevention, overfill protection, leak detection, and corrosion protection equipment on all regulated underground storage tanks was part of the federally mandated 1998 upgrade requirements.

This proposal would provide an additional tool the department can use to address severe tank deficiencies. The department would not anticipate a direct fiscal impact from this proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 2323-01 Bill No. SB 601 Page 4 of 4 April 4, 2007

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture Department of Natural Resources Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Transportation

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

April 4, 2007