COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2475-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 578

Subject: Health Care; Health Care Professionals; Health, Public; Physicians; Hospitals;

Health Department

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 12, 2007

Bill Summary: This proposal requires hospitals to report adverse health events.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
General Revenue	(Greater than \$100,000)	(Greater than \$100,000)	(Greater than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Greater than \$100,000)	(Greater than \$100,000)	(Greater than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 2475-01 Bill No. SB 578 Page 2 of 7 March 12, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 2475-01 Bill No. SB 578 Page 3 of 7 March 12, 2007

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume this proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors or the Office of Prosecution Services.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** state the following:

Section 197.553.1: Requires the Department to define the form and content of information submitted and promulgate related regulations. Section 197.562.1. requires the Department to promulgate regulations establishing criteria for defining cases in which reportable incidents have occurred in a hospital with a frequency or possible pattern of adverse outcomes that would necessitate Departmental intervention. Promulgation of these rules will require research by the Department and input from the patient safety organization and affected facilities.

L.R. No. 2475-01 Bill No. SB 578 Page 4 of 7 March 12, 2007

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Section 197.556.1: States the Department shall investigate each incident upon receiving notice. The extent of this investigation will be determined based on the findings and the proposed prevention plan prepared by the hospital. Some of these cases may be found to not require any further intervention. Other cases may require staff to go on-site. Many of the cases will likely be some of the most egregious and will require intense scrutiny by the Department. Additional nursing staff skilled at investigating and analyzing these events will be required. The state of Minnesota has enacted similar legislation. In the first two years of reporting in Minnesota, they received an average of 103 reports per year. The extent of any follow-up investigations on the Minnesota reports is unknown.

<u>Section 197.556.3</u>: Requires the Department to, "periodically evaluate the performance of the patient safety organization regarding report submission processes and its reviews of reportable incident prevention plans and root cause analyses submitted by hospitals." The nursing staff mentioned previously would also need to review activities of the patient safety organization(s).

The assessment of a fee to cover the cost of investigations that is allowed by Section 197.556.2. (language says "may" charge a fee) would be a new activity for the Bureau of Health Services Regulation. Depending on the number of reports that need to be investigated and thus the number of fees assessed, there may be a need for additional staff to track the fee process from the initiation of a fee to the receipt of payment. Data would also need to be analyzed to determine the appropriate fee amount and the necessary rule(s) would have to be drafted and promulgated.

Due to the extent of the unknowns, an accurate estimate of the fiscal impact is not known at this time, but it is believed to exceed \$100,000. At the point that the impact can be determined, the Department would request additional staffing through the appropriations process.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services - Division of Medical Services (DMS)** assume this proposal requires hospitals to report the "occurrence of serious reportable events in health care" to a patient safety organization and to the Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS). Since this primarily involves the hospital and the DHSS, it will not have a fiscal impact on the DMS.

L.R. No. 2475-01 Bill No. SB 578 Page 5 of 7 March 12, 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010		
GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
Income - Department of Health and Senior Services* Investigation Fee	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
Costs - Department of Health and Senior	Circiowii	Chillown	Chillown		
Services*					
Investigations and Reviews	(Greater than \$100,000)	(Greater than \$100,000)	(Greater than \$100,000)		
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Greater than \$100,000)	(Greater than \$100,000)	(Greater than \$100,000)		
* Oversight assumes costs will exceed incomes.					
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>		

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 2475-01 Bill No. SB 578 Page 6 of 7 March 12, 2007

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This legislation requires hospitals to report whenever they have a "serious reportable event in health care," as identified by the National Quality Forum. Such events include wrong-site surgery, retention of a foreign object in a patient after surgery, and death or serious disability associated with medication error.

The procedure for hospitals reporting such events to the Department of Health and Senior Services and to a patient safety organization are prescribed in the legislation. The requirements for a patient safety organization are also prescribed in the legislation. The patient-identifying data shall be redacted from information provided to the Department or patient safety organization. The initial report of the event shall be reported to the patient safety organization and include a description of immediate actions taken by the hospital to minimize the risk of harm to patients and prevent reoccurrence. Within 20 days after the event occurred, the hospital shall submit to the patient safety organization a root cause analysis and a prevention plan, which shall be forwarded to the Department.

The Department shall investigate the reportable incident and based on its findings, determine whether the hospital's response and proposed prevention plan is sufficient to reduce the risk of future occurrences of that type. The Department shall also periodically evaluate the performance of the patient safety organization regarding report submission processes and its reviews of prevention plans. The legislation also prescribes the procedure for the Department when taking action on insufficient prevention plans.

If a reportable incident is disclosed to the Department and patient safety organization and the prevention plan and root cause analysis is submitted and approved by the Department, the incident shall not be deemed grounds for a finding of a licensure deficiency. The Department shall promulgate rules establishing criteria for defining cases in which reportable incidents have occurred in a hospital with a frequency or possible pattern of adverse outcomes as to necessitate departmental intervention.

The patient safety organization shall in collaboration with the Department publish an annual report to the public on reportable incidents. The report shall show the number and rate per patient encounter by region and by category of reportable incident and may identify reportable incidents by type of facility.

L.R. No. 2475-01 Bill No. SB 578 Page 7 of 7 March 12, 2007

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

This legislation provides for certain legal protections of patient safety organization documents. The proceedings and records of the organization shall not be subject to discovery or introduction into evidence in any civil action against a provider. However, information otherwise available from original sources shall not be immune from discovery or use in any civil action if they were presented during a patient safety organization meeting. Patient safety work product shall be privileged and confidential and shall not be disclosed for any purpose.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Social Services
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 12, 2007