# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2515-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 622

Subject: Contracts and Contractors; Drugs and Controlled Substances; Employees -

Employers; Public Buildings

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 23, 2007

Bill Summary: This proposal requires construction employees to take drug tests before

working on projects on school and state property.

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 2515-01 Bill No. SB 622 Page 2 of 5 March 23, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume there is no state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. Should the new crimes and amendments to current law result in additional fines or penalties, DESE cannot know how much additional money might be collected by local governments or the DOR to distribute to schools. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to schools increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year; unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

Officials at the **Missouri Department of Conservation** (**MDC**) assume the proposed legislation would appear to have indirect fiscal impact on MDC funds, since it would mainly affect contractors and subcontractors who work on state owned buildings. The exact amount of impact is unknown, but expected to be less than \$100,000 annually.

Officials at the **University of Missouri** assume this bill would require drug testing on all University construction contracts. Total cost impact to the University estimated at \$180,000 a year.

Officials at the Department of Higher Education, Office of Administration, Metropolitan Community College, Office of the Secretary of State, Linn State Technical College, Missouri State University, University of Central Missouri, Department of Health and Senior Services, Lincoln University and the Missouri Department of Transportation assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

**Oversight** assumes that this proposal would require contractors to establish and maintain a drug testing program while working on public projects. The cost of the program would be paid for by the contractors.

L.R. No. 2515-01 Bill No. SB 622 Page 4 of 5 March 23, 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses having construction contracts with the state or universities would experience an increase in costs as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 2515-01 Bill No. SB 622 Page 5 of 5 March 23, 2007

### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Higher Education
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Conservation
Office of Administration
Metropolitan Community College
Office of the Secretary of State
Linn State Technical College
Missouri State University
University of Missouri
University of Central Missouri
Department of Health and Senior Services
Lincoln University
Missouri Department of Transportation

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 23, 2007