

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 2520-01  
Bill No.: SB 671  
Subject: Compacts; Interstate Cooperation  
Type: Original  
Date: March 6, 2007

---

Bill Summary: This proposal changes provisions of the Kansas and Missouri Regional Investment District Compact.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume the proposal would have no adverse or beneficial impact on their city.

Officials from the **City of St. Joseph** and the counties of **Jackson, Platte** and **Buchanan** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal is permissive in nature and requires voter approval for such district compact to be enacted. In the fiscal note for the enabling legislation (SB 825 in 2006), Oversight reflected a \$0 to potential unknown amount of revenue that would be collected by the state's Department of Revenue for the 1% collection fee of the sales tax that could be generated for such a district. Oversight also reflected a \$0 to Unknown amount of sales tax revenue to local political subdivisions as well as an offsetting \$0 to (Unknown) cost for funding the operation of the regional projects and commission. Therefore, Oversight assumes the fiscal impact of this proposal has already been reflected in the fiscal note for the truly agreed to and finally passed SB 825 in 2006, and will not reflect additional fiscal impact from the changes contained in this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses located within a county that would receive voter approval to levy a sales tax would be expected to pay, collect, and account for the sales tax.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Currently, there is a Kansas and Missouri Regional Investment District Compact. Under, this act, if the state of Kansas has not enacted the compact by August 28, 2007, the district shall still be created and shall be entitled the "Missouri Regional Investment District".

This act adds Buchanan County to the list of political subdivisions which are considered regional investment districts. The act also provides the areas of Kansas which will be included in the district if Kansas adopts the compact.

All members of the commission governing the Regional Investment District shall be from a jurisdiction in a state that has adopted the compact.


Currently, counties in the district may, upon voter approval, submit a sales tax not to exceed one-half of one percent to support a regional program for a public transit system. This act removes a provision stating that such a sales tax shall not be levied by any county unless a majority of the qualified electors of at least Johnson and Wyandotte Counties in Kansas and Jackson County in Missouri approve the such a levy.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of Kansas City

**NOT RESPONDING: counties of Buchanan, Jackson, and Platte; city of St. Joseph**



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
March 6, 2007