# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2564-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 691

Subject: County Assessor: Tangible Personal Property

Type: Original Date: April 2, 2007

Bill Summary: Requires taxpayers to list all tangible personal property subject to tax in

the county of residence.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	go.	go.	60	
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 2564-01 Bill No. SB 691 Page 2 of 5 April 2, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated			
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	008 FY 2009 FY 2			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials of the Office of Attorney General assume no fiscal impact to their office.

Officials of the **Department of Corrections** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Department of Revenue** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the State Tax Commission assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials of the Callaway County Assessor's Office assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Camden County Assessor's Office** stated their county could lose some personal property assessed valuation, however; officials assumed the loss of revenues would be minimal.

**Oversight** sent response request to numerous County Assessors and none have responded.

**Oversight** assumes if this proposal would change the procedures used by certain County Assessors when assessing certain types of personal property, some counties could experience a loss of assessed value while other counties could experience a gain in assessed value. **Oversight** assumes the amount lost by one county would equal the amount gained by another, which would result in a net statewide fiscal impact of zero.

Oversight for purposes of this fiscal note will show fiscal impact to local governments as zero.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2564-01 Bill No. SB 691 Page 4 of 5 April 2, 2007

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Corrections
Department of Revenue
Missouri State Tax Commission
Office of State Courts Administrator
Callaway County Assessor
Camden County Assessor

## NOT RESPONDING

County Assessors of the Counties of:

Boone

Bates

Cass

~1

Clay

Cole

Cooper

Greene

Franklin

Jackson

Jefferson

Laclede

Lafayette

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2564-01 Bill No. SB 691 Page 5 of 5 April 2, 2007

# NOT RESPONDING (continued)

New Madrid

Platte

Pulaski

St. Charles

St. Louis

Warren

Webster

Scotland

Texas

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

April 2, 2007