

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3360-01
Bill No.: SB 1019
Subject: Civil Rights; Courts
Type: Original
Date: March 12, 2008

Bill Summary: The proposal prohibits discrimination based upon a person's sexual orientation.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to a similar proposal from the 2007 session (SB 266, LR # 0927-01), officials from the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume that, if passed, this proposal will enable new cases of alleged discrimination based on sexual orientation to come before the Human Rights Commission. As a result, the AGO anticipates additional work in representing the Commission. At this time, it is unknown how many new claims will be filed by individuals alleging discrimination based on sexual orientation. AGO assumes that the number of claims in the first few years will be less than 10 and that the AGO can absorb the costs of representing the Commission in its review of these claims. However, if there is a significant increase in claims over time, the AGO may seek additional appropriations to adequately represent the Human Rights Commission in handling these claims.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations – Missouri Commission on Human Rights (MCHR)** assume they cannot predict the number of cases the proposal would add to the MCHR's caseload. The MCHR estimates, based on information received from four states which cover sexual orientation, the percentage of cases filed is 2.4%.

The MCHR received 1,866 complaints in FY 07. If this would increase in by 2.4% due to adding sexual orientation as a protected category, this would add 45 new complaints. The MCHR estimates .50 FTE Human Relations Officer I (each at \$41,268 per year) to absorb the additional workload. MCHR estimates the salary plus fringe benefits to be approximately \$31,300 per year.

Oversight assumes the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations – Missouri Commission on Human Rights (MCHR) could absorb any increase in complaints within existing resources. However, if the number of cases increases significantly, MCHR could request additional funding through the budgetary process.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this proposal for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes this is a small amount and does not expect additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the SOS can sustain with their core budget. Any additional required funding would be handled through the budget process.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3360-01
Bill No. SB 1019
Page 5 of 5
March 12, 2008

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Office of the Secretary of State

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mickey Wilson". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
March 12, 2008