

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3923-02
Bill No.: SB 886
Subject: Agriculture and Animals: Cities, Towns, and Villages
Type: Original
Date: February 18, 2008

Bill Summary: Allows any municipality to adopt ordinances, orders, or regulations to control dangerous dogs, provided that no such ordinance, order, or regulation are specific to breed.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Local Government	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Agriculture** assume no fiscal impact to their department.

Officials of the **Kansas City Manager's** office assume this proposal would cost the city \$15,000 annually. Officials stated that the City currently has a "Pit Bull" ordinance which generates fine revenue of approximately \$15,000 annually for animal control. Officials assume this would be lost if this proposal were to become law.

Officials of **Webb City** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **City of West Plains** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **City of Centralia** assume no fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes cities that currently have ordinances regulating specific breeds of dogs could realize a decrease in revenue generated from regulation fines. Oversight will show fiscal impact to municipalities as \$0 or a negative unknown.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011

MUNICIPALITIES

<u>Loss of Revenue</u> - Due to changes in law regulating dangerous dogs.	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act allows any city, town, or village to adopt ordinances, orders, or regulations to control dangerous dogs, provided that no such ordinances, orders, or regulations are specific to breed. Ordinances, orders, or regulations addressing specific breeds of dogs adopted prior to August 28, 2008, shall be deemed invalid.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION


Department of Agriculture
Kansas City Manager's Office
Webb City Manager
West Plains City Manager
City of Centralia

NOT RESPONDING

City of Belton
City of Bonne Terre
City of Boonville
City of Bridgeton
City of Columbia
City of Florissant
City of Gladstone
City of Independence
Jefferson City
City of Knob Noster
City of Ladue
City of Lake Ozark

NOT RESPONDING (continued)

City of Lebanon
City of Maryland Heights
City of Mexico
City of Pacific
City of Poplar Bluff
City of Raytown
City of Rolla
City of Springfield
City of Sullivan
City of St. Louis
City of Warrensburg
City of Warrenton
City of Liberty



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 18, 2008