

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4169-01
Bill No.: SB 909
Subject: Secretary of State: Petitions
Type: Original
Date: January 24, 2008

Bill Summary: Modifies requirements pertaining to petition circulators.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of Secretary of State - Elections** assume they would need .05 FTE to handle any increase in office work from petitions filed, and would need additional equipment and supplies. Officials estimate costs for FY 2009 at \$19,792; and \$20,092 in FY 2010; and \$20,694 in FY 2011.

Oversight assumes the Secretary of State already conducts much of the work on petitions, and the changes only add additional requirements, but not necessarily additional duties. **Oversight** assumes if a number of bills would pass that would require additional duties, the Secretary of State would reserve the right to request additional staff. **Oversight** assumes the Secretary of State could meet this proposals requirements with existing staff and appropriations.

Officials of the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials of the **Department of Corrections** assume there would be no fiscal impact to the department. The proposal spells out that punishment would be in the county jail.

Officials of the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume this proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors or the Office of Prosecution Services.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.


This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Secretary of State - Elections
Department of Corrections
Office of State Courts Administrator
Office of Prosecution Services

NOT RESPONDING

Office of Attorney General



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
January 24, 2008