COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5270-02

Bill No.: HCS for SB 1175

Subject: Housing; Bonds - General Obligation and Revenue

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 15, 2008

Bill Summary: This proposal exempts certain types of conduit revenue bonds or notes

held by the Missouri Housing Development Commission from statutory indebtedness limitation and requires the MHDC to have its offices and employees in Cole County, and requires the executive director or the chief

executive director to reside within 40 miles of Jefferson City.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
General Revenue	\$0 to (\$2,386,017)	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0 to (\$2,386,017)	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5270-02

Bill No. HCS for SB 1175

Page 2 of 5 April 15, 2008

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 5270-02

Bill No. HCS for SB 1175

Page 3 of 5 April 15, 2008

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development - Missouri Housing Development Commission (MHDC)** state the original bill would exempt conduit bonds from MHDC's statutory cap on unrated bonds found in Section 215.160, RSMo. Theses bonds carry no risk for the MHDC and there is no fiscal impact associated with this provision.

The House Committee Substitute would require by July 1, 2009 that MHDC locate all their offices into Cole County. In order to do that, MHDC would have to break our current leases in Kansas City and St. Louis, pack up and move the contents of those offices, and relocate employees to Jefferson City.

According to our landlord, in order to get out of the contract, MHDC would have to pay the remainder owed, but we could potentially negotiate a discount up to 8%. As of 7/1/2009, the Kansas City lease (ends 1/31/2012) would have \$1,266,062 remaining and the St. Louis lease (ends 7/31/2011) would have \$467,787 remaining for a total of \$1,733,849. An 8% discount would reduce the amount to \$1,595,141.

Using a ballpark estimate received from a Kansas City moving company, the moving costs are estimated at \$107,900.

MHDC has an employee relocation policy that allows for a relocation payment of 10% of an employee's salary, if the employee is required to move by MHDC. In order to cause as little disruption as possible to the programs administered by MHDC and the Missourians who depend upon them for affordable housing, it is assumed that MHDC will ask all employees to relocate and provide the relocation payment as an incentive to do so. If all employees were to utilize the relocation expense, it would cost \$544,268.

The total expense associated with breaking the leases, moving office contents, and relocation payments would be \$2,247,309 to \$2,386,017 depending on the discount negotiated on the lease payment.

- \$1,595,141 to \$1,733,849 for broken leases in Kansas City and St. Louis;
- \$107,900 for movers; and
- \$544,268 for employee relocation expenses.

The MHDC assumes that funds for legislatively mandated move would be paid by the state with General Revenue through the appropriation process.

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 5270-02 Bill No. HCS for SB 1175 Page 4 of 5 April 15, 2008

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Accounting** and **Administrative Hearing Commission** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

Oversight is unsure if the State of Missouri will pay the anticipated cost arising as a result of this proposal, or if the MHDC will have to bear the expense through its non-state fund. Therefore, Oversight will range the fiscal impact to the state from \$0 (MHDC will pay all expenses through

it's Missouri Housing Trust Fund) to the high estimate provided by the MHDC (if expenses will be borne by the state).

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 Mo.)		
Expense - Missouri Housing			
Development Commission Move offices from Kansas City and St.	\$0 to	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Louis to Jefferson City	(\$2,386,017)		
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	\$0 to (\$2,386,017)	0.0	0.2
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(<u>\$2,380,017)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 5270-02 Bill No. HCS for SB 1175 Page 5 of 5 April 15, 2008

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Beginning July 1, 2009, this bill requires the Missouri Housing Development Commission to have its offices and employees in Cole County and its executive director or chief executive officer to reside within 40 miles of Jefferson City..

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development Office of Administration

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

April 15, 2008