## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0243-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 13

Subject: Roads and Highways, Transportation Department, Motor Vehicles, Law

Enforcement Officers and Agencies

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 22, 2009

Bill Summary: This proposal allows the Department of Transportation to construct toll roads under certain conditions.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 0243-01 Bill No. SB 13 Page 2 of 7 January 22, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials at the Office of the State Courts Administrator, Missouri Highway Patrol and the Office of the State Treasurer assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Missouri Department of Transportation** (**MoDOT**) assume this legislation would provide MoDOT with an alternative method to partially fund and build needed transportation facilities in the state. There would be no fiscal impact on MHTC / MoDOT from this legislation until MHTC decides to pursue the construction of a toll facility.

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any cost arising from litigation or administrative duties related to placing this measure on the ballot could be absorbed with existing resources. AGO also assumes that if the measure were to pass, any legal counsel related to the substance of the proposal would be provided by MODOT.

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** assume this legislation will require:

System changes to GRS so it will generate the suspension notices to applicants as ordered by the court;

Revisions to policies, procedures, and the DOR website;

Programming costs to GRS and TRIPS for the new suspension and reinstatement program;

Unknown amount of funding for FTE (and related equipment/expenses) to key enter the suspensions ordered by the court as well as respond to related telephone and email inquiries. Reinstatements would be processed by contract offices upon proper proof of toll road payment; and notices, envelopes, and postage (\$.47 per notice) to issue suspension and reinstatement notices; and \$3.14 per set of plates/tabs that must be reissued.

**Oversight** assumes the Department of Revenue will have no expenses unless MoDOT decides to pursue the construction of a toll facility.

Officials at the **OA-Information Technology**(**ITSD-DOR**) assume this legislation would require modification to programs which would cause a minimal impact and could be absorbed with current staff.

L.R. No. 0243-01 Bill No. SB 13 Page 4 of 7 January 22, 2009

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (**SOS**) state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** (**SOS**) assume each year a number of bills are considered by the General Assembly that would require statewide elections to allow the voters to decide the issues in the legislation. State statutes require that SOS's is provided with \$4.3 million in general revenue core funding to handle such special elections. The appropriation had historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost each year is dependent upon the number of special elections required to fill vacant legislative seats and the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly. However, in recent years an estimated appropriation has only been provided in presidential primary years. Therefore, the Secretary of State's office assumes for the purposes of this fiscal note that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet these special election costs. However, we reserve the right to request funding if needed to meet these mandatory requirements.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal would be submitted to the voters at the next regularly scheduled general election in November 2010.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012

L.R. No. 0243-01 Bill No. SB 13 Page 5 of 7 January 22, 2009

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act allows the Department of Transportation to construct toll roads under certain conditions.

TOLL ROADS AUTHORIZATION - This act authorizes the commission to construct, maintain and operate toll facilities on the state highway system. The commission is authorized to issue state toll facility revenue bonds to finance toll facility projects authorized by the General Assembly. Such bonds may be issued without the consent of the General Assembly. Bonds issued for toll facility projects shall not be deemed to constitute a debt or liability of the state and shall be payable solely from the state toll facility fund. Toll facility bonds shall be exempt from taxation. The commission is required to obtain a study of the proposed toll facility project by one or more qualified independent consultants prior to commencing any project (Section 226.1200).

TOLL FACILITY PROJECTS - Prior to the commencement of any toll facility project, the Director of Transportation shall obtain a study of the proposed toll facility project by a qualified independent consultant. If the Director of Transportation determines, based upon the study, that the toll facility project is in the best interest of the state, the Director of Transportation shall then be required to obtain approval of the toll facility project by the General Assembly (Section 226.1200.3).

SPECIFIC TOLL FACILITY PROJECTS - Under the enabling legislation, the General Assembly authorizes a toll facility projects to be constructed upon Interstate 70 between St. Louis and Kansas City. The commission is authorized to construct these toll facility projects with the design-build project delivery system (section 226.1205). The toll for traveling the entire length of Interstate 70 is capped at \$5 (indexed for inflation).

STATE TOLL FACILITY FUND - The act establishes within the state treasury the "State Toll Facility Fund" which shall stand appropriated without any legislative action (Section 226.205). All tolls, fees, state toll facility revenue bond proceeds, and other charges imposed for using toll facilities shall be credited to the fund. The fund shall be used to pay:

(1) The costs of issuing state toll facility revenue bonds and refunding bonds, the costs of

JH:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0243-01 Bill No. SB 13 Page 6 of 7 January 22, 2009

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

feasibility studies and the costs for constructing toll facilities;

- (2) The cost of collecting toll facility revenues;
- (3) The principal and interest on any outstanding state toll facility revenue bonds and refunding bonds.

If revenues in the state toll facility fund are insufficient to pay for authorized costs, the commission shall transfer amounts from the state road fund to keep the toll facility fund solvent. Transfers from the state road fund shall be repaid in the time and manner determined by the commission. The commission is authorized to continue to collect tolls and fees on all toll facilities until all costs have been repaid. Any amount in the state toll facility fund in excess of what is needed to pay authorized costs shall be transferred to the state road fund.

COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF TOLLS - The commission may use any method for imposing and collecting tolls, including toll tickets, barrier toll facilities, billing accounts, commuter passes and electronic recording or identification devices (Section 226.1215). The act further outlines the enforcement mechanisms the Department of Transportation may utilize to ensure that motorists pay for using state toll roads. The commission may enforce the payment of tolls by using automated enforcement technology, including automatic vehicle license plate identification photography and video surveillance. The use of such automated enforcement technology may be used only for the purpose of recording the image of the nonpaying motorist's license plate. Photo monitoring system evidence which shows that a motorist has failed to pay a toll shall raise a rebuttable presumption that the motor vehicle was used in violation of the law. A collection fee, not to exceed \$100, may be charged to recover the cost of collecting an unpaid toll (Section 226.1230). A motorist who fails to pay a toll shall be guilty of an infraction punishable by a fine not to exceed \$200 (Section 226.1230.6). The act allows a court to install a device on the nonpaying motor vehicle that prohibits its movement. The nonpaying motorist may also have his or her motor vehicle registration voided until the toll and all fines are paid. The act also outlines what procedures must be taken in order to collect tolls and issue traffic citations.

This act is contingent upon the passage of a constitutional amendment that authorizes the Department of Transportation to construct and operate toll facilities.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0243-01 Bill No. SB 13 Page 7 of 7 January 22, 2009

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the Attorney General
Missouri Highway Patrol
Office of the Secretary of State
Department of Revenue
Missouri Department of Transportation
Office of the State Treasurer
OA-Information Technology

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 22, 2009