COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0393-02

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SB 26

Subject: Alcohol Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 29, 2009

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits the use or possession of alcoholic beverage

vaporizers.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	00	00	00
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0393-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SB 26

Page 2 of 4 May 29, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0393-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SB 26

Page 3 of 4 May 29, 2009

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections** and the **Department of Public Safety - State Highway Patrol** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** and the **Department of Public Safety - Director's Office** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender** assume that existing staff could not provide adequate representation for those few cases arising where indigent persons were charged with the proposed new crime of possessing an alcoholic vaporizer. While the number of cases may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the Office of the State Public Defender will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all its cases.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assume the bill would have no measurable fiscal impact on the OPS or County Prosecutors.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 0393-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SB 26

Page 4 of 4 May 29, 2009

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Corrections
Office of Prosecution Services

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

May 29, 2009