# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0438-12

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for HCS for SCS for SB 44

Subject: Prisons and Jails

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 27, 2009

Bill Summary: The proposal creates new regulations for private jails.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
90	90	\$0		
		FY 2010 FY 2011		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 0438-12

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for HCS for SCS for SB 44

Page 2 of 6 May 27, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for HCS for SCS for SB 44

Page 3 of 6 May 27, 2009

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol** and the **Springfield Police Department** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety – Director's Office** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a previous version of the proposal (SCS for SB 44, LR # 0438-04), officials assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **St. Louis County Department of Justice Services** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a previous version of the proposal (HCS for SCS for SB 44, LR # 0438-07), officials assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume the penalty provisions, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for up to a class D felony.

DOC cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase of direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY08 average of \$15.64 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$5,709 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY08 average of \$2.47 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$902 per offender).

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

• DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders:

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0438-12

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for HCS for SCS for SB 44

Page 4 of 6 May 27, 2009

- The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence; and
- The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a previous version of the proposal (HCS for SCS for SB 44, LR # 0438-07), officials assumed the proposal would have no measurable fiscal impact the Office of Prosecution Services or county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume increasing penalties on existing crimes, or creating new crimes, will require more SPD resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional appropriations for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all its cases.

**Oversight** assumes the Office of the State Public Defender (SPD) could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources. Oversight assumes any significant increase in the workload of the SPD would be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the Buchanan County Sheriff's Department, Jackson County Sheriff's Department, Platte County Sheriff's Department, St. Louis County Police Department, Columbia Police Department, Kansas City Police Department, and the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012

L.R. No. 0438-12

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for HCS for SCS for SB 44

Page 5 of 6 May 27, 2009

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety

- Director's Office
- Missouri State Highway Patrol

Office of Prosecution Services

Office of the State Public Defender

Springfield Police Department

St. Louis County Department of Justice Services

L.R. No. 0438-12 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for HCS for SCS for SB 44 Page 6 of 6 May 27, 2009

## **NOT RESPONDING**

Buchanan County Sheriff's Department
Jackson County Sheriff's Department
Platte County Sheriff's Department
St. Louis County Police Department
Columbia Police Department
Kansas City Police Department
St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 27, 2009