# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0522-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 16

Subject: Insurance - Automobile; Motor Vehicle

Type: Original

Date: January 30, 2009

Bill Summary: Criminalizes the production, manufacture, possession and sale of

fraudulent documents intended to serve as insurance identification cards.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0522-01 Bill No. SB 16 Page 2 of 5 January 30, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 201				
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0522-01 Bill No. SB 16 Page 3 of 5 January 30, 2009

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, and Department of Revenue assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume any costs associated with this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** state the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on the OPS.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide competent, effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crimes of selling or possessing fraudulent insurance cards. Selling would become a D felony and possessing the same would become a B misdemeanor.

Passage of bills increasing penalties on existing crimes, or creating new crimes, requires the SPD system to further extend resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation is all its cases.

**Oversight** assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court. If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY 08 average annual cost of \$5,709 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY 08 average annual cost of \$902 per offender).

ASSUMPTION (continued)

HW-C:LR:OD

L.R. No. 0522-01 Bill No. SB 16 Page 4 of 5 January 30, 2009

The DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders, the low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence, and the probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense and that sentences may run concurrent to one another. Therefore, supervision through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but the DOC assumes the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

L.R. No. 0522-01 Bill No. SB 16 Page 5 of 5 January 30, 2009

Office of Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Department of Corrections
Department of Revenue
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 30, 2009