## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u> :	0599-05
Bill No.:	Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 177 and HCS for HB
	622
Subject:	Crimes and Punishment; Courts; Criminal Procedure; Crime Victims
Type:	Original
Date:	May 19, 2009

# Bill Summary: The proposal gives the judge presiding in certain sexual offense cases discretion to disclose information regarding the defendant, which could be used to identify the victim, to avoid protecting the defendant's identity.

## FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0599-05 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 177 and HCS for HB 622 Page 2 of 4 May 19, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

□ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

□ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 0599-05 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 177 and HCS for HB 622 Page 3 of 4 May 19, 2009

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Social Services** and the **Department of Public Safety** – **Director's Office** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program, and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0599-05 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 177 and HCS for HB 622 Page 4 of 4 May 19, 2009

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Social Services Department of Public Safety – Director's Office

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director May 19, 2009

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)