# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0665-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 160

Subject: Drugs and Controlled Substances; Health Department

Type: Original

Date: January 29, 2009

Bill Summary: This legislation changes the scheduling of ephedrine, pseudoephedrine,

and phenylpropanolamine to be Schedule III controlled substances.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0665-01 Bill No. SB 160 Page 2 of 5 January 29, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

#### Section 195.017:

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety** and the **Missouri State Highway Patrol** each assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions & Professional Registration (DIFP)** state that having reviewed the proposed legislation and having sought the conclusion of the appropriate board(s), DIFP are of the opinion that this proposal in its present form has no fiscal impact to the Department.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** states DHSS submitted two new decision items in our Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Request to allow for implementation of SB 724 (2008), which requires pharmacies to track information related to purchases of non-prescription pseudoephedrine products in an electronic logbook/database.

L.R. No. 0665-01 Bill No. SB 160 Page 4 of 5 January 29, 2009

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

If the proposed legislation passes, the products would no longer be available over-the-counter and there would not be a need to track the sale. The potential cost avoidance would be \$938,322 in the first year, as well as over \$500,000 in ongoing staff and maintenance costs to the system in subsequent years. Because funding for this tracking system has not been appropriated to the Department yet, DHSS assumes no fiscal impact as a result of this legislation.

**Oversight** assumes there is no fiscal impact. The two new decision items are currently not included in the Governor Recommendations FY10 budget.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

If the proposed legislation passes licensed pharmacies and dispensing practitioners would no longer need to maintain a log (written or electronic) of purchases and would not incur an indeterminate fiscal impact for purchasing electronic equipment, software, and related man-hours required in entering and transmitting data to the electronic log for methamphetamine purchases related to SB 724 (2008).

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SEC:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0665-01 Bill No. SB 160 Page 5 of 5 January 29, 2009

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Public Safety Missouri State Highway Patrol Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 29, 2009