COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0694-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 116

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Transportation; Fire Protection; Law Enforcement

Officers and Agencies

Type: Original

Date: February 5, 2009

Bill Summary: The proposal expands the crimes of assault of a law enforcement officer,

emergency personnel, or probation and parole officer in the first, second, or third degree to include a transit operator or a metro vehicle operator

while on duty or in operation of his or her official vehicle.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0694-01 Bill No. HB 116 Page 2 of 5 February 5, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 0694-01 Bill No. HB 116 Page 3 of 5 February 5, 2009

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Department of Public Safety** – **Missouri State Highway Patrol**, and the – **Director's Office** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors or the Office of Prosecution Services.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this proposal revises assault of a law enforcement officer, emergency personnel, or probation and parole officer in the first, second, and third degrees by adding transit operators and metro vehicle operators. Existent penalty provisions, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for up to a class A felony.

DOC officials assume offenders charged with any of the crimes outlined in this proposal could already be charged and prosecuted pursuant to current statutes for assault.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase of direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY08 average of \$15.64 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$5,709 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY08 average of \$2.47 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$902 per offender).

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

 DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders; and L.R. No. 0694-01 Bill No. HB 116 Page 4 of 5 February 5, 2009

ASSUMPTION (continued)

• The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume increasing penalties on existing crimes, or creating new crimes, will require more SPD resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional appropriations for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all its cases.

Oversight assumes the Office of the State Public Defender (SPD) could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources. Oversight assumes any significant increase in the workload of the SPD would be reflected in future budget requests.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 0694-01 Bill No. HB 116 Page 5 of 5 February 5, 2009

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Transportation Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety

- Missouri State Highway Patrol
- Director's Office

Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 5, 2009