COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1533-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 282

Subject: Construction and Building Codes; Consumer Protection; Contracts and

Contractors; Merchandising Practices; Real and Personal Property

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 15, 2009

Bill Summary: The proposal creates statutory warranties for home buyers and

homeowners and also prevents home solicitors from engaging in certain

deceptive practices.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	60	60	60	
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1533-01 Bill No. SB 282 Page 2 of 5 April 15, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1533-01 Bill No. SB 282 Page 3 of 5 April 15, 2009

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions, and Professional Registration,** and the **Office of the State Public Defender** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

In response to a similar proposal from the 2008 Session (SB 913, LR # 3924-01), officials from the **Department of Public Safety** – **Director's Office** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume any additional workload generated by this proposal can be absorbed within existing resources. To the extent AGO receives complaints in excess of the amount that cold be absorbed, AGO may seek appropriations for any staff necessary to handle complaints, conduct investigations, or bring legal actions on behalf of the state under this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume the penalty provision, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for a class A misdemeanor.

DOC cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase of direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY08 average of \$15.64 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$5,709 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY08 average of \$2.47 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$902 per offender).

L.R. No. 1533-01 Bill No. SB 282 Page 4 of 5 April 15, 2009

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the Office of Prosecution Services did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program, and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1533-01 Bill No. SB 282 Page 5 of 5 April 15, 2009

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Economic Development
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions, and Professional Registration
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety

— Director's Office
Office of the State Public Defender

NOT RESPONDING

Office of Prosecution Services

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

April 15, 2009