# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 2045-01

Bill No.: Perfected HB 861 Subject: National Guard

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 31, 2009

Bill Summary: This proposal revises the law concerning assistant adjutants general.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND |         |                 |  |  |
|----------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|--|--|
| FY 2010                                      | FY 2011 | FY 2012         |  |  |
|                                              |         |                 |  |  |
|                                              |         |                 |  |  |
| 60                                           | ¢0      | \$0             |  |  |
|                                              |         | FY 2010 FY 2011 |  |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS       |         |         |         |  |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                   | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |  |
|                                                 |         |         |         |  |
|                                                 |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 2045-01 Bill No. Perfected HB 861

Page 2 of 4 March 31, 2009

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS                        |         |         |         |  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                                | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |  |
|                                                              |         |         |         |  |
|                                                              |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on <u>All</u><br>Federal Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) |         |         |         |  |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                      | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |  |
|                                                    |         |         |         |  |
|                                                    |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on<br>FTE            | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS |         |         |         |  |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                       | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |  |
| <b>Local Government</b>             | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

L.R. No. 2045-01 Bill No. Perfected HB 861 Page 3 of 4 March 31, 2009

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Director's Office** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Office of the Adjutant General (OTAG)** also assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency. OTAG states the Missouri National Guard has grown to a point that it is qualified to have a third Assistant Adjutant General and that this position would be funded completely by federal funds and would not be part of the state appropriation process.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal is permissive in nature since it states the adjutant general <u>may</u> assign assistant adjutants general up to the number authorized by the National Guard Bureau rules and regulations (current statutes state two). Since the funding for this additional position would be completely federal and not be subject to the state appropriation process, Oversight will assumes a zero fiscal impact to state funds.

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2010<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2011           | FY 2012    |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|
|                                  | <u><b>\$0</b></u>   | <u>\$0</u>        | <u>\$0</u> |
|                                  |                     |                   |            |
|                                  |                     |                   |            |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2010<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2011           | FY 2012    |
|                                  | <u><b>\$0</b></u>   | <u><b>\$0</b></u> | <u>\$0</u> |

L.R. No. 2045-01 Bill No. Perfected HB 861 Page 4 of 4 March 31, 2009

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Public Safety

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

March 31, 2009