# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2173-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 479

Subject: Economic Development; Taxation and Revenue

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 9, 2009

Bill Summary: This proposal allows certain contributions to downtown revitalization

preservation development projects to be substituted for the dollar-fordollar basis for the local match in lieu of taxes and economic activity

taxes.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 2173-01 Bill No. SB 479 Page 2 of 4 March 9, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	

L.R. No. 2173-01 Bill No. SB 479 Page 3 of 4 March 9, 2009

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development** and the **Department of Revenue** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the cities of **Kansas City** and **St. Louis** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal could potentially save local political subdivisions tax proceeds if a third party donates money into the development fund and the municipality is allowed to retain the payments in lieu of taxes or economic activity taxes. Oversight will reflect this as a \$0 to positive unknown to the municipalities.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO MUNICIPALITIES	<u>\$0 to Unknown</u>	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
Savings - potential savings for municipalities if a third party makes a donation to the redevelopment fund in place of the municipality paying PILTS or economic activity taxes	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government  MUNICIPALITIES	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2173-01 Bill No. SB 479 Page 4 of 4 March 9, 2009

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act allows contributions to a downtown revitalization preservation development project from any private not-for-profit organization or local contributions from tax abatement or other sources to be substituted on a dollar-for-dollar basis for the local match of 100% of payments in lieu of taxes and economic activity taxes from the development's fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development Department of Revenue

NOT RESPONDING: City of Kansas City City of St. Louis

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 9, 2009