

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3042-01  
Bill No.: HB 1392  
Subject: Counties; County Officials; State Tax Commission; Taxation and Revenue -  
 Property  
Type: Original  
Date: January 11, 2010

---

Bill Summary: Would change the deadline for taxing authorities to notify county collectors of tax rates set.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
 This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

**ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue**, the **State Tax Commission**, the **Metropolitan Community Colleges**, **Cass County**, the **City of Centralia**, the **City of Kansas City**, and the **Office of the St. Louis County Collector of Revenue** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Parkway School District** stated that this proposal would have saved their organization \$3.5 million in the 2009-2010 fiscal year, and assume that cost savings would be similar in future years.

Officials from the **Special School District of St. Louis County** (SSD) stated that the proposal is not expected to have a material fiscal impact on their organization. SSD officials also stated that the proposal would fix a problem that caused the district to incur a 3% drop in property taxes in the current fiscal year.

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Division of Budget and Planning** did not respond to our request for information.

**Oversight** notes that this proposal would change the date by which taxing authorities must determine the ad valorem tax rate for the next year. Accordingly, Oversight assumes this proposal would have no fiscal impact on the state nor on its political subdivisions.

<b><u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u></b>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>
<b><u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u></b>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.


This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue  
State Tax Commission  
Metropolitan Community Colleges  
Cass County  
St. Louis County Collector of Revenue  
City of Centralia  
City of Kansas City  
Parkway School District  
Special School District of St. Louis County

NOT RESPONDING

**Office of Administration  
Division of Budget and Planning**



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
January 11, 2010