

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4191-06  
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for HB 1540  
Subject: Criminal Procedure; Crimes and Punishment; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Motor Vehicles  
Type: Original  
Date: March 2, 2010

Bill Summary: The proposal changes the enforcement procedures for an infraction and the duty of motorists to obey reasonable signals and directions given by law enforcement in the enforcement of infractions.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Transportation, Department of Revenue, Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol, – Director’s Office, Boone County Sheriff’s Department,** and the **Jefferson City Police Department** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume the penalty provision, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for a class A misdemeanor.

DOC cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY09 average of \$3.71 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$1,354 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** did not respond to Oversight’s request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a previous version of the proposal (HB 1540, LR # 4191-03), officials assumed the proposal would have no measurable fiscal impact the Office of Prosecution Services or county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume increasing penalties on existing crimes, or creating new crimes, will require more SPD resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional appropriations for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all its cases. SPD assumes a minimal, unknown impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** assumes the Office of the State Public Defender (SPD) could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources. Oversight assumes any significant increase in the workload of the SPD would be reflected in future budget requests.

**Officials from the Office of Prosecution Services, Buchanan County Sheriff's Department, Clark County Sheriff's Department, Columbia Police Department, Independence Police Department, Jackson County Sheriff's Department, Kansas City Police Department, Platte County Sheriff's Department, Springfield Police Department, St. Charles Police Department, St. Joseph Police Department, St. Louis County Police Department, and the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.**

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program, and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)


The proposal contains an emergency clause for Sections 556.021 and 556.022, RSMo. The repeal and reenactment of these two sections would be in full force and effect upon the proposal's passage and approval.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator  
Department of Transportation  
Department of Corrections  
Department of Revenue  
Department of Public Safety  
    – Missouri State Highway Patrol  
    – Director's Office  
Office of Prosecution Services  
Office of the State Public Defender  
Boone County Sheriff  
Jefferson City Police Department

NOT RESPONDING

**Office of Prosecution Services, Buchanan County Sheriff's Department, Clark County Sheriff's Department, Columbia Police Department, Independence Police Department, Jackson County Sheriff's Department, Kansas City Police Department, Platte County Sheriff's Department, Springfield Police Department, St. Charles Police Department, St. Joseph Police Department, St. Louis County Police Department, St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department**



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director

L.R. No. 4191-06  
Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for HB 1540  
Page 6 of 6  
March 2, 2010

March 2, 2010

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)