COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0529-02

Bill No.: Perfected SB 61

Subject: Courts; Eminent Domain and Condemnation

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 6, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal requires that one of three commissioners appointed in

condemnation cases in St. Louis City, St. Louis County and Jackson

County be real estate brokers or appraisers.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0529-02 Bill No. Perfected SB 61

Page 2 of 4 March 7, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 0529-02 Bill No. Perfected SB 61 Page 3 of 4 March 7, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development - Office of Public Council** and the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from **St. Louis County** assume the proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their county.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency. In response to a similar proposal from 2010 (SB 776), MoDOT assumed the proposal could delay projects and potentially cause some projects to be halted from continuing toward construction. If for any reason MoDOT must condemn real property in a county where there does not exist at least a real estate broker and state-licensed or state-certified appraiser willing to serve on a condemnation commission panel the condemnation action would be delayed or even halted from continuing. In some rural counties this may very well be the case. However, MoDOT states this proposal is much more narrow in scope (limited to St. Louis City, St. Louis County, and Jackson County) than last year's and would not create a fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes Senate Amendment 1 would not have a fiscal impact.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

L.R. No. 0529-02 Bill No. Perfected SB 61 Page 4 of 4

Page 4 of 4 March 7, 2011

	\$0	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Transportation
Department of Economic Development
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Office of the Attorney General
St. Louis County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 7, 2011